UNITED STATES DISTRI SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
 UNITED STATES OF AME		
V .	·	17 CR 684 (ER)
CHRISTIAN DAWKINS AN	ND MERL	Tr on our (Ent)
Defer	ndants. x	Trial
		New York, N.Y. April 24, 2019 9:00 a.m.
Before:		
	HON. EDGARDO	RAMOS
		District Judge
	APPEARANC	ES
	Attorney for the ict of New York	
ROBERT L. BOONE NOAH D. SOLOWIEJCZYI		
ELI J. MARK Assistant Unite	ed States Attorn	eys
HANEY LAW GROUP PLLO Attorney for De	C efendant Dawkins	
CHANEY LEGAL SERVICE BY: DAVID A. CHANEY	•	
-and- NEXSEN PRUET, LLC		
BY: ANDREW A. MATHI MARK C. MOORE	IAS	
Attorneys for I	Defendant Code	

(Trial resumed)

THE COURT: Are you folks ready, or are we waiting for Mr. Solowiejczyk?

MR. BOONE: No, your Honor. We're not waiting.

THE COURT: OK. I got the government's letter yesterday evening requesting that I strike certain questions and answers and I give a curative instruction. Has the defense had an opportunity to review that letter?

MR. MOORE: We have, your Honor.

MR. HANEY: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to it?

MR. MOORE: We do have an objection to it, your Honor. A number of questions that the government wishes to strike are questions that were posed, were objected to, and your Honor overruled those objections. I see no reason for your Honor to revisit those rulings now. The government seeks to sanitize their alleged victims in this case and not have any inquiry, not even a limited inquiry, about the fact that these alleged victims make a lot of money from college basketball. And we think that all of the questions that were asked were appropriate, were not in violation of any rulings that your Honor has made with respect to motions in limine.

I know that what your Honor told us yesterday was you were giving us a limited window. You gave us a limited window. I think that your Honor's rulings were appropriate yesterday.

We, obviously, accept the rulings when we made -- we asked questions and your Honor sustained those objections, and, obviously, those sustained questions were not answered by the witness. So I see no reason -- the government's motion strikes me as a little whiny, frankly.

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I would only add that the questions that were asked on cross-examination were a derivative of what was asked on direct examination when Mr. Boone asked hypothetical questions of the witness, what would happen if these particular occurrences were known, what kind of NCAA sanctions could occur, what kind of money could be lost, what kind of banners could be removed from the Final Four. All those questions were asked of the witness on direct examination, which opened the door to ask those questions and explore that information on cross-examination. We didn't just jump into that line of questioning without the prompting that occurred by Mr. Boone on the direct examination. I don't even agree in theory in what they're even suggesting occurred, not to mention the cross-examination was clearly based on what the direct examination offered.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Does the government wish to respond?

MR. MARK: I mean, just briefly. Beyond the fact, as we said, that this line of questioning was objectionable, and really, as you see the cumulative nature -- and we've laid this

out in our letter -- of what they were trying to do, we do argue, as we've laid out, seeks just purely to irrelevant, prejudicial matters that seek to inject the business of college basketball into this case in a way that has an inappropriate -- or at least can have an inappropriate effect.

To the sense that they are saying that we -- well, one, their argument that the schools make a lot of money from college basketball, my understanding was that that wasn't somewhere they were going to go. That's why we filed that motion in limine, and we understood that we had an agreement from them. So I think that was an area that we all understood was not going to be part of this case, so I think that was directly inappropriate.

In the sense that what Mr. Haney said was derivative of the government's direct, just isn't so. If you look at these questions, there's questions about the University of South Carolina sponsorship agreement with Under Armour. Those things weren't even discussed during direct. While there was a hypothetical question posed about what are the potential consequences to the school, there was specifically no discussion about the exact amounts of money or anything like that because those issues would be irrelevant. The fact that there are consequences to NCAA rules violations is, obviously, part of the milieu of this case and part of the fact that why these schools do have these rules and why they follow those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rules and make their coaches follow them in connection with their contracts.

So we do think all of these were irrelevant, prejudicial and, particularly in their cumulative effect, require a curative instruction.

THE COURT: Here's where I come down. I reviewed, obviously, the questions and answers, and they fall into three categories: One category is, essentially, does the University of South Carolina benefit from its relationship with Under Armour? I allowed that particular question to be answered on two occasions. I did not allow the defense to go into the actual monetary amount that they benefit by, but the fact that there was an economic relationship with Under Armour and the University of South Carolina strikes me as incredibly noncontroversial. It's something that was previewed with the venire when they came in. In fact, a vast majority of the jurors indicated that they had some view of the financial relationship between the various parties and college basketball. A vast majority, or at least a clear majority, indicated that they were uncomfortable with the amount of money that universities made and with the fact that the student athletes were not compensated. So they are well aware of this, and it would not have caused any surprise to learn that there is a financial relationship between Under Armour and South Carolina. And that's as far as that went.

Secondly, the second area has to do with runners and whether or not Mr. Miller, in his capacity as a NCAA compliance officer, investigated runners during the course of his employment with the NCAA. He indicated that he had. He indicated that on ten to 15 occasions, approximately, he investigated instances of alleged improper payments made by runners to college athletes. That, to me, also strikes me as incredibly noncontroversial and certainly, I don't think, prejudices the government in any way.

The third area was the Rice report, and that is a question that I should have sustained the objection to.

However, there was no substantive answer, so all we have is the question. And as this jury's been told many times already, questions are not evidence, so I'm not going to strike the questions.

I also want to point out, I believe that everyone in the jury, including the alternates, has some level of college. There are at least a couple that have master's degrees. There are two that are trained as lawyers and who practiced as lawyers for some period of time. This is a smart jury. They know what the milieu is that we're talking about.

The testimony that came in yesterday, again, aside from the Rice report, which I didn't even know what it was, so I should have sustained that objection, again, I think is noncontroversial. The fact that the university profits is a

fact and it is true, and I don't think the government is prejudiced in any way.

Now, having said that, the defense did indicate in connection with the motions in limine that it would not go into these areas. I think that the extent to which they've entered these areas is very, very minimal, but they are put on notice that they should not go into these areas anymore, unless appropriate on cross-examination.

MR. HANEY: Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Now, the second question that we need to discuss is do you want a curative instruction, and if so, what's the defense view on it?

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, our view would be that it's unnecessary for the reasons the Court stated, the reasons we've stated on the record.

MR. MOORE: And as I think what the Court has indicated, the Court believes that the only really improper question, from the Court's view, was this question about the Rice report which was not answered. I don't know why we would give a curative instruction with respect to that.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, given your Court's ruling, we understand your thinking through that. We would not request a curative instruction at this time. We expect the defense will

follow your Honor's order here and reaffirmation that, while these questions right now may not be that controversial, if we keep going there with witness after witness after witness, we do think it would be a different situation. We might come back to your Honor at that point in time.

THE COURT: I agree. By the way, the defense has indicated that they will follow my instruction. I'm sure that in the heat of battle they will overstep, and then we'll deal with that when it comes.

MR. MOORE: We will try -- at least I will speak for myself. I will try to approach if I think I'm going to overstep.

I will also say that we spent an awful lot of time yesterday with Mr. Blazer without really ever getting to the issues that are here in this case. With respect to a lot of talk about payment of players, etc., if we continue to spend a lot of time on that, I understand that some limited information about that is relevant here, but this case is not about paying players.

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I'd be remiss if I did not on behalf of my client follow up on that. I do not want to engage in speaking objections. I'm going to follow the Court's directive. I'm very careful not to do that because I know the influence that could have on a jury.

But we spent a good part of the day yesterday talking

about my client three or four years ago when he was working for a sports management company engaged in illegal payments or illicit payments to student athletes. That's not what this case is about. Effectively, seems like they're trying to retry the Gatto case that they already won back on October six months ago. This isn't a case about paying student athletes. This is a case very specifically about whether or not my client and Mr. Code paid cash bribes to coaches.

Now, I understand they get some 404(b) latitude, and I think they got their shots in. The jury's understanding it. But it falls into our theory, in a certain way, that that's what my client was doing. But to spend several hours, they're talking eight to ten hours with this guy, to testify to just present my client in a negative light, I think it's becoming prejudicial. It's becoming clearly cumulative at this point to further show my client was paying four years ago, potentially trying to pay student athletes.

Thank you, your Honor.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, just a couple of points on that. Obviously, the government can put on their case however the government wants to put on its case. I don't think I heard a relevance objection to the testimony that I now hear complaints about.

But maybe more importantly, obviously, one of the issues the defendants have raised is an entrapment defense, and

there's even in opening statements the suggestion that the government was pushing their clients to do things it didn't really want to do. So the government is trying to show the relationship between the defendants and our cooperator and others involved in the case to show how that relationship started, and it started with Christian Dawkins, obviously, reaching out to him to seek his help in paying players. That's relevant to the case, particularly relevant as I understand the defense wants to point out that some of those payments went to players. This is explaining sort of that process and how it is.

So it's clearly relevant. They are not even saying it's not relevant. They're, frankly, just saying they're sort of tired of hearing of it. That's fine. Maybe we could — they could put it on in a different way, but this is the way we're choosing to put it on.

THE COURT: Can I ask you, how many cooperators will the government be putting on?

MR. BOONE: Just two, your Honor.

THE COURT: Who's the other one?

MR. BOONE: Munish Sood is the other one.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. BOONE: So they're, essentially, the only fact witnesses in the entire trial. So they're, obviously, important, and we're going to take a lot of time getting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony out of them.

THE COURT: Mr. Haney.

MR. HANEY: I'd just briefly respond. One's predisposition, which I know they're trying to establish through this line of questioning, one's predisposition to pay players does not satisfy one's predisposition to pay coaches. Their own witness testified that in all his years of all his dirty deeds as a dirty football agent, he never paid a coach. That's what their own witness said. There's a reason why he didn't pay coaches. It's the same reason why my client didn't pay coaches. It doesn't make sense. Their own witness is supportive of the theory that we have of why paying bribes to coaches doesn't make sense. I think the Court can even understand from Mr. Blazer's testimony, clearly, he would have paid anybody to get a client. He testified he didn't pay The fact that my client was predisposed to paying a player or family members, that doesn't support a predisposition to then pay coaches.

MR. MOORE: I would certainly add, your Honor, that there are two defendants on trial here. As I understand it, we're going to be treated to another almost two full days of Mr. Blazer, and he didn't meet my client until June of 2017, well into his career as an undercover FBI operative. Much of this information may be unduly prejudicial to my client. Frankly, I would have filed a severance motion if I thought

that your Honor would have entertained it, but I've had a lot of experience with severance motions, particularly when I was a prosecutor. But I think the government would concede, for example, if we were trying a case against Merl Code, we'd try this case, even with these cooperators, in about two days. So some of this information can become more prejudicial with respect to my client, and so that is the reason why I raised it.

THE COURT: Well, you've raised the issue. Again, I didn't -- I personally did not see anything in Mr. Blazer's testimony that I considered to be cumulative. The reason I asked whether or not he was the only cooperator or one of a couple of cooperators is because the government is entitled, because I assume that it's -- you guys are going to come after him and the government is entitled to have Mr. Blazer tell the jury through the government's questioning everything bad that he's ever done. Now, hopefully that will not take another couple of days, but I think the government is entitled to do that. Obviously, all of you should be aware of the amount of -- I have no idea how much evidence you have or how you intend to put it on. All I know is the parties told me on any number of occasions that this case would take two weeks. So be conscious of that.

Anything else?

MR. MOORE: Just two brief points, your Honor. First

of all, I got an abbreviated response to the two issues that I raised yesterday, either late last night or this morning. I don't consider it sufficient, but I am going to respond in writing. I don't think we're going to need to deal with the issue until next week when we get to the defense case. So we will get your Honor some briefing on that issue if need be. So that's the first point I wish to raise.

The second point I would -- it's a question. Does your Honor require all three lawyers to be in the courtroom all at the same time, even if you're not cross-examining the witness? Because I just want to make sure of that because we might do some dividing and conquering later in this trial if that is --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. MOORE: -- acceptable to the Court.

THE COURT: That's absolutely fine.

MR. MOORE: I guess the third question I have, also for informational purposes, assuming that we get this case to the jury next week, and Lord knows I hope we do, what is your Honor's schedule with respect to the jury as they deliberate? Is it the same schedule, or do we go longer?

THE COURT: I am guided by the jury. I tell them from now on our time is yours or your time is ours. So if you want to stay after 2:30, if you think that you're getting close and you want to stay after 2:30, we'll stay as long as you want.

25

1 MR. MOORE: OK. Thank you. 2 MR. BOONE: Just minor housekeeping, your Honor. 3 Yesterday, obviously, read a lot of stipulations. Those 4 stipulations were authenticity stipulations. So we will need 5 to move into actual evidence the recordings that we're going to 6 go over today. We already started on one, Government 7 Exhibit 401. I just want to make sure that's clear. 8 THE COURT: Is there any dispute as to any of those 9 tapes? 10 MR. HANEY: No, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So if you could do that in a summary 12 fashion --13 MR. HANEY: Yes. 14 THE COURT: -- that would be helpful. Given you tapes 1 through 15, have you seen these before, are they accurate, 15 16 vou know. 17 MR. BOONE: OK. 18 THE COURT: Any objection to that? 19 No, sir, your Honor. I guess the only MR. MOORE: 20 other point Mr. Chaney reminded me, and perhaps I should say it 21 now, I understand that Mr. Blazer had a lot more contact with 22 Mr. Dawkins than he has with my client. And Mr. Dawkins --23 Mr. Blazer was allowed to sort of testify about exactly what he 24 believed the other party was thinking when he said this or he

said that. I'm going to have issues with that when we get to

Mr. Code because of his very limited involvement with Mr. Code who he barely knows.

THE COURT: I think that the question was not what was he thinking, what was your understanding?

MR. MOORE: What was your understanding?

THE COURT: And that's perfectly appropriate in order to put those conversations in context.

MR. MOORE: And I also -- I would understand that when we get to the defense case and we present tapes, what's good for the goose is good for the gander, is that right, your Honor?

THE COURT: If the question is what was your understanding of what the counterparty was saying, absolutely.

MR. MOORE: Right. Thank you.

THE COURT: OK. Anything else?

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, for Chance Miller, the witness who already testified, may it make sense to just go ahead and offer officially the exhibits that he went over? Those were Government Exhibits 1001, 1003, 1004, 1005, and 1006. I think those actually were already admitted, because I think we put in the stip that admitted sort of the bulk, all of the business records documents. My understanding is that we are now going to ask to admit particular documents as we are discussing them.

THE COURT: I thought that was the purpose of the stipulation. I mean, because you published before the jury at

least one document that was brought in pursuant to those stipulations, so I just assumed they were all admitted.

MR. BOONE: And they were all admitted. I guess what I want to make clear, it sounds like what we intended to do was to not admit all of them, but to admit them sort of as we approach them, as the stip was sort of overinclusive. You were right that those documents were admitted. I guess what we're trying to say is going forward, we will be more specific about which ones actually are going to be before the jury.

THE COURT: I am officially confused.

MR. SOLOWIEJCZYK: If I could just clarify very, very briefly, your Honor. It's an authenticity stip; it's not an admissibility stip.

THE COURT: Then how did we put that one before the jury?

MR. BOONE: We offered it.

MR. SOLOWIEJCZYK: We did offer it. We read the transcript. It's a little unclear. So we're trying to have a clean record that exhibits that Mr. Boone just read out are officially admitted. We are hoping for that. And going forward, because it's an authenticity stip not an admissibility stip, we are going to be saying to your Honor, we offer Government Exhibit Y, Government Exhibit X, just because the defense — that's what they wanted to sign, and we don't want to overstep.

THE COURT: Very well. By the way, I depend on the parties to keep track of what's in evidence and what's not in evidence. So please have someone or several people dedicated to making sure that somebody knows what's in.

MR. MOORE: We would also like to -- while the government doesn't have a ton of witnesses, we would like to invoke the rule of sequestration. And I know that

Mr. Vourderis is an exception to that rule. I don't know who UC-1 is and UC-2 is, although we spotted one who folks may think was UC-1 yesterday. So I would ask the government to police that and to keep out those folks.

MR. BOONE: UC-1 and UC-2 are not going to be here and have not been here.

THE COURT: I don't imagine that any other potential witness has been in the courtroom during the trial, at least not during the testimony yesterday.

MR. BOONE: There's been a potential summary witness, who's an FBI agent, who's been helping sort of moving witnesses back and forth. He's been in occasionally, that's it.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. MOORE: I would ask that he be excluded.

THE COURT: He's a summary witness?

MR. MARK: Yeah, he's a summary witness. He was the same summary witness who also sat at counsel table in the Gatto trial. He's not going to be testifying other than as a summary

witness, not about his particular factual involvement in this case. We don't think that it's necessary to sequester him.

THE COURT: It's my understanding a summary witness is someone who somehow summarizes evidence that's already -- testimony and documents that are already in evidence?

MR. MARK: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: That application is denied.

MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, I'm just going to note for the record, as Mr. Moore referenced, after defense raised the issue of what they thought was the government's failure to comply with their responses to their *Touhy* request, which, as we know, your Honor, on the record we thought we had fully complied prior to, we discussed that with both the FBI and the civil AUSA who is outside the case and made a more formal response in light of the Court's ruling precluding what we understood was the vast majority of the requested testimony.

In that response, we asked them to particularly lay out and identify the specific areas of testimony that they think is admissible and remain appropriate in light of your Honor's ruling. And we said that once they identify those specific areas of testimony, we would then promptly evaluate their request. And if they did seek to obtain any admissible testimony, we would work to make those FBI agents available.

If what they're actually seeking is further

inadmissible testimony, I think there was some references from Mr. Moore before that the crux of what they were wanting to do is sort of why did agents take particular actions. That would be inadmissible testimony, and then we would further seek to preclude that, which is exactly the function that *Touhy* serves, to flesh out exactly what is the testimony so that if there's a proper application to preclude it, that we can put that before your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. MOORE: I will tell your Honor that I'll probably be better suited to do what they're asking me to do after we hear the testimony of Mr. Blazer in its entirety.

THE COURT: OK. It's five minutes to 9:30, so let's check in on the jury, and if the jury is here, we'll get started at 9:30.

Is Mr. Blazer close by?

MR. BOONE: Yes, he's in the hallway, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

(Recess)

THE COURT: Mr. Boone, I have just one small recommendation for you.

MR. BOONE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: When you go back and forth from the transcript to the testimony, when you go back to the transcript, can you say approximately what line so that it's a

Case 1:17-cr-00684-ER Document 256 Filed 06/05/19 Page 20 of 152 J40HDaw1 little easier to follow. 1 2 MR. BOONE: Yes. And, your Honor, what we would like 3 to ask your permission on is we have prepared binders of the transcripts for the jury. 4 5 THE COURT: Wonderful. 6 MR. BOONE: Are we permitted to put them in the jury 7 box? 8 THE COURT: Absolutely. 9 MR. BOONE: That also will make it easier. 10 THE COURT: Did someone put this up here for me? 11 MS. BUSTILLO: Yes. 12 THE COURT: The jury is here, so let's bring 13 Mr. Blazer in. 14 (Continued on next page) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Everyone please be seated.

Ladies and gentlemen, you're going to find a binder in your chairs. Those are the transcripts of some of the conversations that we're going to be going over. I would ask that you not read ahead, that you go only to the tab that we're considering and not read ahead with respect to any later transcripts.

I hope you all had a pleasant evening. I thank you, as always, for being so prompt. I apologize about this morning. It won't happen again.

 $\label{eq:will_now_continue} \mbox{We will now continue with the direct examination of } \mbox{Mr. Blazer.}$

Mr. Boone.

MR. BOONE: Thank you, your Honor.

Pursuant to what we discussed this morning, I'd like to offer into evidence the following exhibits that we will cover today:

Government Exhibit 401, Government Exhibit 401T,

Government Exhibit 402, Government Exhibit 402T, Government

Exhibit 413, Government Exhibit 413T, Government Exhibit 1637,

Government Exhibit 501A and 501AT, Government Exhibit 501B and

501BT, Government Exhibit 501C and 501CT, Government

Exhibit 501D and 501DT, Government Exhibit 501E and 501ET,

Government Exhibit 508A and 508AT, Government Exhibit 508D and

508DT, Government Exhibit 510A1 and 510A1T, Government Exhibit 510A2 and 510A2T, Government Exhibit 510A3 and 510A3T, Government Exhibit 510B1 and 510B1T, Government Exhibit 510B2 and 510B2T, Government Exhibit 510B3 and 510B3T, Government Exhibit 510B5 and 510B5T, and Government Exhibit 510B6 and 510B6T. THE COURT: Any objection? MR. HANEY: May we approach, your Honor? (Continued on next page)

(At sidebar)

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, our understanding wasn't moving all these exhibits into evidence. Is he moving for admission?

MR. BOONE: Yes.

MR. MOORE: We did not believe that that was our discussion. We agreed to the admissibility of the exhibits from yesterday. I thought that's what he was doing. We've agreed to authenticity. We have not agreed to relevance with respect to all of these calls.

MR. HANEY: Our stips are to authenticity. They're not to admissibility.

MR. MOORE: I think he has to move them in item by item, tape by tape.

THE COURT: Do you have -- what were your objections?

Do you have real objections to these things going forward? I

don't care whether or not you do. I'm just trying to make this

as easy as possible for the jury.

MR. MOORE: I guess if I'd known this was about to be done, we could have gone over this and had an answer, a quicker answer, for you. I think many of these tapes are Mr. Haney's tapes, not mine, but the bottom line is some of these tapes we might contend are overly prejudicial, we my might contend there are hearsay portions. So, again, I thought we were doing this tape by tape, exhibit by exhibit.

25

1 THE COURT: And I would have thought this would have 2 been done before trial. 3 MR. MARK: Your Honor, we gave them notice. 4 actually asked for notice of what tapes we were going to be 5 playing with Mr. Blazer, we expect for that exact reason, so we 6 wouldn't have to waste time going through testimony. So we've 7 given this to them with plenty of advance notice, and we haven't heard anything --8 9 MR. HANEY: Our agreement was authenticity. We've 10 also done the same with you with some of our phone calls, and 11 you've never -- you haven't agreed to admissibility on those 12 calls either. You've agreed to authenticity only. 13 THE COURT: Why don't we do this. 14 MR. BOONE: One by one. 15 THE COURT: One at a time, and then if there's any further argument, we can do it at the break. 16 17 MR. MOORE: We can try to deal with this at break, 18 yes, sir. I was just surprised, frankly. 19 THE COURT: OK. 20 MR. MOORE: Caught off guard. 21 (Continued on next page) 22 23 24

J4OHDaw1 Blazer - Direct

1 (In open court; jury present) 2 THE COURT: I will reserve on the admissibility of the tapes that have been offered thus far. 3 4 MR. BOONE: Thank you, your Honor. 5 If we could queue up for the witness Government 6 Exhibit 401. And to help refresh the jury's memory from 7 yesterday, if we could start from the beginning of that 8 recording. 9 (Audio played) 10 THE COURT: Could I ask you to stop. 11 Does the jury have 401T? 12 MR. BOONE: It's on the screen, and it should also be 13 in the binders. 14 THE COURT: OK. I just wanted to let them know the 15 transcript that's being played now -- or call that's being played now should be in your binders, 401T. If you want to 16 17 read along, you can read along on the transcript. If you want to look at it on the screen, you can look at it on the screen, 18 whatever makes you most comfortable. OK. 19 20 You can continue. 21 (Audio played) 22 MR. BOONE: You can pause here. 23 LOUIS MARTIN BLAZER, Resumed. 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

25

BY MR. BOONE:

25

Blazer - Direct

Mr. Blazer, just to sort of orient ourselves, what's been 1 2 talked about up to this point in this call? A. Well, there's a lot there, so I'll try to explain the best 3 4 I was introduced to Christian by way of another 5 individual that I was introduced to. As I said yesterday, it 6 was an old football agent that I've been dealing with for 7 years, introduced me to a guy in Atlanta named Rashan Michel who did a lot of business in the basketball space. And I 8 9 didn't know Rashan very well, and I make reference to that in 10 the -- in the call. And Rashan connected me with Christian and 11 set up a meeting in September of 2015 where we had just kind of laid out -- introduced each other and laid out kind of what we 12 13 did. And Christian was in the basketball space, and I had come 14 from football, interested in being -- in working with some basketball players, at this time, again, working, cooperating 15 with the federal government. 16 17 And so we left that meeting in September of 2017 --18 2015 and just didn't reconnect for -- until early December of 19 2015. The reason that we both kind of agreed to was that --20 and, again, this was in conversations that I had with 21 investigators with the federal government as well, 22 cooperating -- that Rashan Michel was kind of confusing the 23 whole situation because when he was talking to me about it and 24 Christian needed money for these players, Rashan wanted

everything to go through him. So later in the call, I say,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5,000, 7,500, Rashan was asking me to give him money and then get it to Christian, which nobody was very comfortable with.

Blazer - Direct

252

So Christian sent that text that we had spoken about yesterday, just trying to reconnect with me, and so we did. And this call happened, and what's going on later on in the call is that Christian is basically saying, I kind of felt the same way you felt as far as Rashan confusing the whole situation, so I wanted to come to you directly and see if we can work together. And then he recognizing the fact that I had worked more in the football space and I was more familiar with that, he goes into Andy Miller Sports, which was the agency that he was working for, and a lot of the recruits and prospects that he was working on and the money that he needed for those prospects and trying to enlist me to come in with him and provide money for those individuals.

What he was talking about was that there were just -there was a lot. There were a lot of recruits. really trying to build their business up to a certain number of high-level NBA players. And he was looking for other resources, meaning money from individuals to try to spread out over these individuals that he was recruiting. And he would compare it to football, something that I was aware of, where in football you would have a good two or three years when a client was in -- when a player was in college that you could develop that relationship with them before they -- meaning, develop a

Blazer - Direct

relationship, part of that, in my experience, was paying them to build that relationship.

And so he was comparing that to football, saying that basketball, it wasn't the same. You had a situation where you really needed to get into high school aged basketball players because they would be coming into the pros, especially the very elite ones, they would be coming into the pros for one year and then they were coming out. So you needed to make a decision to invest in them very early on.

So the gist of the phone call was to come to me and say, I know Rashan confused the situation. I'm looking for some help. I'm looking for some money to invest in these potential recruits. I will get the representation end, meaning he will get the representation end, he'll be their agent at Andy Miller Sports, and I'll give you the business on the financial or business management side.

MR. BOONE: Before we play the next part, just to orient the jury, I believe we're around line 24 on page 6, and if we could continue with the call, please.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: We can pause here.

- Q. What are you explaining to Dawkins in this segment?
- 23 A. This was -- this was December of 2015, and at that time
- 24 | I -- and, again, the way Rashan was introduced to me through a
- 25 | football agent, it was a -- it's a very small community,

Blazer - Direct

especially the football agents and financial advisers and that whole — and just really very, very competitive, as is basketball when it comes to the business of working with these elite athletes. So December of 2015, I wasn't sure how much Christian knew, even though no SEC charges had been filed or anything like that on me yet, but there were whispers out there about the movie stuff and what had happened with that and that there were some clients that were not happy and — and I wasn't sure how much at the time Christian knew about that.

So what I was saying in this piece is that -- and we had actually discussed a little bit of it when we met in Atlanta that time -- is that I didn't need to be their financial adviser. I didn't need to handle their investments. I could do more of the business management side of things for them where I would pay their bills or create budgets or help them with their houses or coordinate their tax stuff and -- or if it was just maybe an insurance investment type situation.

So what we had discussed there was that there were a variety of ways that we could work together, and it wouldn't necessarily be the financial adviser end because, again, I wasn't sure how much Christian knew about my problems with that, with that movie/music investment situation. So I was just telling him there.

Then there's one point whenever I say about having some basketball players overseas, and I really didn't have

Blazer - Direct

- anybody overseas. But when you were talking to somebody, you never really admitted that you didn't have, so that was not true either.
 - MR. BOONE: I believe we left off around line 13 of page 8. If we could continue.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: We could pause here.

- Q. Now, Mr. Blazer, you just said in this phone call you referred to having someone on the investment side. What did you mean by that?
- A. There was a -- my partner who handled the investment management side at the time was Munish Sood in Princeton, New Jersey. So I was referring to Munish could handle the investment management piece.
- Q. Did you know if Munish wanted to be involved?
- A. Yes, Munish wanted to be involved. Munish had already been working with a number of my athletes on the NFL side, so I was pretty sure Munish wanted to be involved in handling the investments.
- Q. You testified earlier that Munish Sood was a part of Blazer
 Capital earlier, correct?
 - A. Yes. Well, it was actually Blazer Investment Advisers was the investment advisory arm, and when I met Munish and forged a relationship and partnership with him, Blazer Investment Advisors became Princeton Blazer Advisers. So Munish was the

256

J40HDaw1

Blazer - Direct

- person who kind of handled the investment advisory piece. 1
 - Was he at all involved in your fraud regarding the 0. mismanagement of client funds?
 - Α. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR. BOONE: If we could continue.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here.

- There's a mention of getting a 1 percent on insurance.
- What did you understand that to mean? 9
- 10 A. Well, it was -- what I understood, it was two different
- 11 pieces. The business management, what Christian was referring
- 12 to, would be, say, 1 percent. So if a client made a million
- 13 dollars, then to manage the business end of it, the business
- 14 management, typically in the business it would be, to pay the
- 15 bills and to manage the whole lifestyle side of things,
- 1 percent. You'd make \$10,000 a year. So that was the 16
- 17 business management side. Then I think he was referring to
- maybe doing an investment, like an insurance policy that was an 18
- 19 investment insurance policy.
- 20 So there were two different things. One was the
- 21 business management at 1 percent, and then the other was the
- 22 insurance investment.
- 23 These are potential fees you can make off of a client? 0.
- 24 Α. Potential fees, yes.
- 25 MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 13 of page 10.

1 If we could continue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here.

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he asked you what you would be comfortable doing on a monthly basis?
- A. What I would be comfortable paying to him on a monthly basis to give to players or their families in exchange for them coming back to me for the business management or financial business management services basically.

MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 17. If we could continue.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause there.

Q. What are you explaining to Dawkins in this segment?

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I would object to relevancy.

This will be my only objection to this whole line of questioning.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. HANEY: Thank you.

A. What I was explaining to him was I just sort of -- at the time I was using my own money on -- to do this. And, basically, I was just saying if I could, if we could pick out a couple situations where we could sort of mitigate those costs and that we were looking for players who were ready to -- ready

to give you a return on investment; in other words, committing

Blazer - Direct

- the money to certain situations where the players were not

 in -- not of high school age, where they were ready to come out

 in the NBA draft guicker.
 - Q. And you said you were using your own money. What did you mean by that?
 - A. Well, before I started working with the FBI and they would give me money to make payments, I -- at the direction of the investigators with the U.S. Attorney's Office, I would -- I was using my own funds to do that.

MR. BOONE: I believe we're on line 5 of page 12. If we could continue.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: We can pause there.

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he said towards the end that he was going to take a look at his recruiting list and shoot you some names?
- A. Just in line with what we had discussed and what I had requested, that we try to pinpoint a number of individuals who were worth giving money to and who were close to coming out into the NBA draft, who were close to turning pro. Christian was going to look at the list of recruits that he had, the people that he was working on that sort of fit that description.
- Q. What happened after this call?
- A. After this call, we did meet in Atlanta, I believe on the

Blazer - Direct

- 1 | 10th of December.
- 2 Q. Did you continue to talk with Dawkins about what you just
- 3 testified to, helping fund money for players?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 | Q. Did you have a call with Dawkins on or about December 31?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 | Q. What did you discuss?
- 8 A. On that call we discussed continuation of what we had
- 9 discussed here, some of the recruits that he was working on,
- 10 and also sort of making a plan to get together again and money
- 11 | that he needed that we had discussed. And, also, we had
- 12 | discussed him speaking with a coach at South Carolina, Lamont
- 13 Evans, and me taking over payments that he was making to
- 14 | Lamont.
- 15 | Q. Did you record that call?
- 16 A. I did.
- MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers that
- 18 call, which is Government Exhibit 402, and the related
- 19 | transcript, which is 402T.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- 21 MR. HANEY: No objection, your Honor.
- 22 | THE COURT: OK. That exhibit will be received.
- 23 Government's Exhibits 402 and 402T received in
- 24 | evidence)
- 25 | THE COURT: Does the jury have it?

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

1 MR. BOONE: The jury should have 402T in their 2 binders, yes. 3 THE COURT: Very well. 4 MR. BOONE: Ms. Bustillo, when you're ready, if you 5 could play that call, please. 6 (Audio played) 7 MR. BOONE: You can pause right here. Thank you. What did you understand Dawkins to be discussing with you 8 9 at this point? 10 So at this point Christian is talking to me about what he 11 has going on from a recruiting standpoint. And kind of in line 12 with the previous discussion, he is discussing something that 13 might fit into what we had talked about, in other words, a 14 player who would be ready to come into the NBA relatively soon 15 and that was worth me investing in, paying money to him to get to this player. He's explaining the situation where the 16 17 player, Diamond Stone from Maryland, was working with a different financial adviser, in other words, a different 18 financial adviser was already paying this player, and that kind 19 20 of fell through. Something happened and they had kind of had a 21 falling out. So there might be an opportunity for Christian to 22 meet with this player, see what's going on there, step in and

MR. BOONE: I believe we left off around line 13 of

we could start paying him, and then Christian could turn his

business or financial relationship over to me.

the coach.

Blazer - Direct

- page 3. If we could begin from there, please.

 (Audio played)
- 3 MR. BOONE: You could pause here.
 - Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be referring to when he mentioned going to South Carolina?
 - A. We had had discussions beforehand about the fact that he was paying a coach from South Carolina, Lamont Evans, for the relationships that he had with players, one of which was a South Carolina player named PJ Dozier. And the coach was Lamont Evans. And Christian had suggested that if we -- if I wanted to take over payments that he was making to the coach, that he would make that introduction. And he says that he basically told the coach that the money now, from now on, would be coming from me, and we just needed to try to get down to South Carolina at some point and memorialize the deal and meet
 - Q. What was your understanding as to why he was paying the coach?
 - A. He was paying the coach for -- for the relationship and to get the coach's players, whether players the coach was recruiting or current players on the South Carolina basketball roster, to be directed to his agency, to be directed to Andy Miller Sports.
 - Q. You mentioned a player at South Carolina by the name of PJ Dozier, is that correct?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Earlier in your testimony, we went over a text exchange
- 3 between you and Dawkins. Do you recall if PJ Dozier was
- 4 mentioned in that text exchange?
- 5 | A. Yes, PJ Dozier was mentioned in that text exchange.
- 6 Q. And what was your understanding of why Dawkins was going to
- 7 stop paying and was giving you an opportunity to sort of pick
- 8 | up where he left off?
- 9 MR. HANEY: Your Honor, objection to foundation.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 A. My understanding was that Christian thought that it would
- 12 | be a good opportunity for me on the business and/or financial
- 13 advisory end to build a relationship with this coach so that,
- 14 | in addition to the coach sending the players to him on the
- 15 representation end as agent, that I would now have a
- 16 relationship with the coach so he could send PJ Dozier and
- 17 | players like PJ Dozier who came through South Carolina my way
- 18 | for the business and financial advisory services.
- 19 MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around line 18 of
- 20 page 4. If we could continue from there.
- 21 (Audio played)
- MR. BOONE: If you could pause here.
- Q. Now, there are some numbers being tossed around, 25. What
- 24 do you understand Dawkins to be referring to?
- 25 A. This was in reference to a discussion, and I think there

J40HDaw1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Blazer - Direct

was a text in there as well, but we had talked about that budget that Christian had proposed me working with across the board sort of for the year. And the total number was \$40,000 for the year that I was going to commit to Christian to help him with recruiting to pay these players and/or their families or coaching relationships like he had with Lamont Evans. And, basically, so what we broke it down to is 20 or 25, which was \$8,000 a month, roughly, 24, \$25,000, for the first part of the year, and then that would help him with recruiting that he needed. And then we did discussed an additional \$15,000 in addition to the 25, which came up to \$40,000, for grass roots, for the grass roots, investment in the grass roots, the paying the coaches and players on the grass roots level for those relationships.

- Q. And, again, what do you mean by grass roots?
- 16 A. Again, grass roots is -- grass roots basketball is high school-aged travel basketball, really good players, all from
- 18 all around a certain area. And college coaches typically
- 19 recruit those players for their colleges from grass roots.
- 20 Q. So, just to be clear, you were talking about spending a
- 21 portion of money towards college players and college coaches
- 22 and another portion towards high school-aged players, is that
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- MR. BOONE: If we could continue, I believe we're on

- 1 | line 8, around line 8, page 5.
- 2 (Audio played)
- 3 BY MR. BOONE:
- 4 | Q. What happened after this call?
- 5 A. After -- after this call, I believe our next meeting was in
- 6 Atlanta, and we drove to South Carolina to meet with Lamont
- 7 Evans.
- Q. Do you remember when, approximately, you drove with Dawkins
- 9 | to South Carolina?
- 10 A. Yes, I believe it was early March, I think March 5 of 2016.
- 11 Q. Other than Dawkins and yourself, who, if anybody else, went
- 12 | with you?
- 13 A. My old partner, my partner on the financial management
- 14 | side, investment advisory, Munish Sood.
- 15 | Q. Why was he going?
- 16 A. I sort of asked Munish to come along because I -- I knew
- 17 | Munish would be interested in this, in the business of dealing
- 18 | with the potential NBA players as clients on the investment
- 19 advisory side, in addition to the fact that Munish had capital,
- 20 | Munish had money, that he could help with the payment of the
- 21 coach and some of the other things that Christian was
- 22 | discussing, like the payment of players. So I made the
- 23 | introduction of Munish to Christian, and we decided to all go
- 24 | to this meeting in South Carolina.
- 25 | Q. Just to sort of clear what you've referenced earlier, when

5

6

9

10

- you first started cooperating with the government, were you working solely with the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 3 A. I was working solely with the U.S. Attorney's Office, yes.
 - Q. Did at some point that change?
 - A. Approximately October/November of 2016.
 - Q. Then who were you working with?
- 7 A. Then I was working with the U.S. Attorney's Office and the 8 FBI.
 - Q. You testified earlier that once the FBI came on board is when you then had funds to make the payments you've discussed?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. What was the purpose of the trip to South Carolina?
- A. The purpose of the trip to South Carolina was Christian was

 going to make the introduction of Lamont Evans, who was an

 assistant basketball coach at South Carolina, to Munish Sood
- 16 and me.
- 17 | Q. What ultimately happened on the trip?
- A. We ultimately met with Lamont Evans and discussed a number
 of different things and came away with a good relationship with
 Lamont where we agreed to take over -- Munish and I agreed to
- 21 take over the payments that Christian was making to Lamont.
- 22 | Q. Had you previously met Evans?
- A. No, I'd never heard of Lamont Evans prior to Christian introducing us.
- MR. BOONE: If we could show, just for the witness for

J40HDaw1

- 1 identification purposes, Government Exhibit 2105.
- 2 | Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 \parallel Q. What is it?
- 5 A. That is Lamont Evans.
- 6 | Q. Is that a picture of Lamont Evans?
- 7 A. Yes, it's a picture of Lamont Evans, yeah.
- 8 Q. It's a fair and accurate depiction of Lamont Evans?
- 9 | A. It is, yes.
- MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers
- 11 Government Exhibit 2105.
- 12 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. HANEY: No objection, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: 2105 will be received.
- 15 (Government's Exhibit 2105 received in evidence)
- MR. BOONE: If we could publish for the jury, please?
- 17 THE COURT: You may.
- 18 BY MR. BOONE:
- 19 Q. Now, the meeting you took in South Carolina, did you record
- 20 | that meeting?
- 21 | A. I did, yes.
- 22 MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers a
- 23 recording of that meeting. It's Government Exhibit 501A and
- 24 | the transcript is 501AT.
- 25 | THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MR. HANEY: No objection, your Honor. 2 501A and AT will be received. THE COURT: (Government's Exhibits 501A and 501AT received in 3 4 evidence) 5 MR. BOONE: Ms. Bustillo, if you could play that for us, please. 6 7 (Audio played) 8 MR. BOONE: We could pause here. First of all, where are you during this recording? 9 10 We are in a restaurant in a hotel in Columbia, South 11 Carolina. 12 Who has been talking so far in the portion we played? 13 So far, basically, Christian and Lamont Evans. Α. 14 MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 21. 15 could go back just a little bit and then continue playing. 16 (Audio played) 17 MR. BOONE: If we could pause here. 18 Who's talking in this portion? Ο. 19 Α. Lamont Evans. 20 What did you understand him to be talking about? 0. 21 I -- from that I gathered that Lamont was saying there are Α. 22 some programs, like Michigan State with Tom Izzo, where those 23 head coaches, those coaches are there for a long time, 20 24 They're there forever. He was saying -- and then there

are schools like South Carolina and others where it's not like

25

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

Blazer - Direct

- that. The coaches are in and out. Four years in, four years

 out. So he was making the distinction, in my opinion, between

 some of the elite college basketball programs and some that

 weren't as -- as traditionally elite.
 - MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 9, if we could continue.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: If we could pause here.

- Q. What do you understand Dawkins and Evans to be discussing here?
- 11 MR. HANEY: Your Honor, object to what he knows Evans
 12 to be discussing on foundational grounds.

THE COURT: Ask another question, Mr. Boone.

MR. BOONE: Sure.

- Q. The recording we're going over is a conversation you had with Lamont Evans, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. Approximately how long did that conversation last?
- 19 | A. The whole conversation?
- 20 | O. The whole conversation.
- A. Probably an hour, 45 minutes to an hour, hour and a half, somewhere in there.
- 23 Q. Subsequent to this meeting, did you have further
- 24 conversations with Lamont Evans?
- 25 A. Before, no. Afterwards, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Did you have meetings with Lamont Evans or calls with
 Lamont Evans that lasted over the course of, say, over a year?

 MR. HANEY: Objection. Leading.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
 - A. Yes, afterwards, yes.
 - Q. So based on those conversations with Lamont Evans that you've had, which sounds like several conversations, what did you understand him to be referring to here when he and Dawkins are talking?
 - MR. HANEY: Objection as to foundation, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 MR. HANEY: Thank you.
- 13 | A. I mean, can I --
- Q. Sorry. Just to orient you where we are, there's talk of
- 15 || Georgetown, Tennessee, North Carolina, and recruiting.
- 16 | A. Yes.
- MR. HANEY: Objection to the form of the question.
- 18 | That's not a question. He's testifying.
- THE COURT: He's just orienting him to where in the transcript he wants him to focus.
- MR. HANEY: Thank you.
- 22 | A. Lamont in that piece was talking about how difficult it is
- 23 | to recruit at South Carolina because you have so much talent.
- 24 | There's so much talent in that area, but there are so many
- 25 | really high-level schools like North Carolina and Duke and NC

25

	J4OHDaw1 Blazer - Direct
1	State and even schools like Georgetown coming in and trying to
2	recruit the same players that Lamont is trying to recruit for
3	South Carolina.
4	MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 8 of page 3.
5	If we could continue, please.
6	(Audio played)
7	MR. BOONE: Pause here. Thank you.
8	Q. In this segment, what did you understand Evans to be
9	discussing?
10	A. Again, sort of a continuation of the recruiting side of
11	things and some of the individuals that he was that he was
12	trying to recruit, and he names a few of those individuals.
13	And then at the end of that piece, he talks about how one
14	recruit, how one bringing one player into a program, one
15	high-level recruit can really change the program. And at the
16	same time, Christian is trying to explain as well the
17	significance of that with a coach like Lamont, how Lamont can
18	influence that.
19	Q. What was your understanding, if any, as to why Evans was
20	telling you this?
21	MR. HANEY: Objection. Speculation, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	MR. HANEY: Thank you.

we could begin, I believe we're around line 20.

MR. BOONE: If we could go back a little bit, and if

Blazer - Direct

1 (Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here.

- Q. You mentioned that's great to you to Evans. What did you mean by that?
- A. Well, Lamont, prior to me saying that, was basically saying and Christian was talking about South Carolina's record the year before, and they had basically were 500. They didn't do all that well. Lamont recruits a player like PJ Dozier. PJ Dozier comes in, and all of a sudden the the record is much, much greater and the team's doing much better.

And so what I'm saying in this piece is that Lamont is basically selling me on the value of him as a recruiter and a coach to get me to understand how — how he can change things by recruiting the right player, and he's using it as an example here that he recruited PJ Dozier. That he brought this recruit in, and it did some great things for the program. And I say, well, that's great for you because of sort of upward mobility. If he recruited this player and this player changed the program for the better, then that's going to be a great reflection on Lamont's job that he's doing in South Carolina and that would, hopefully, propel him to a higher level in college basketball coaching ranks.

(Continued on next page)

J409DAW2

Blazer - Direct

MR. BOONE: I believe around line 20, or around line 23, page 5, just go back a little bit to catch where we just left off.

(Audio played)

- Q. Now, in this segment Dawkins says, "Agents obviously have influence. You got to get the college coaches too." What did you understand him to mean by that?
- A. Well, kind of prior to that Christian is talking about the fact that Lamont brought in PJ and they know that and kind of to my point that saying, Lamont, that's good for you. Christian is basically reinforcing that, saying, The people here know that you did that.

And then to answer your question, Christian is saying for me, for us, in the -- what I was posing as a business financial adviser with Munish Sood with me, Christian is basically saying you guys want the financial management and the business management side, dealing with me as an agent is great but you have to be dealing with the college coaches too. And if you're not, then it's like you're skipping a step. Like you've got to be dealing with college coaches. You're skipping over a crucial step in trying to establish relationships, business relationships with these players if you're not dealing with the college coach.

Q. And Dawkins also mentions on coming to him, talking to him about PJ, "Well fuck you need to be talking to him."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- What did you understand him to mean by that? This is lines 15 to 17 on page 6.
 - A. Basically if Christian as the agent is talking to Lamont Evans about PJ Dozier, a player at South Carolina, then we too, Munish, the financial adviser, business adviser need to be talking to Lamont Evans too about PJ.

MR. BOONE: Please continue from there.

(Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand Evans to be saying there?
- A. Lamont is saying this relationship that he has with Christian is not a casual fly-by-night, just met him in a club and introduced himself. The relationship that Lamont had with Christian is one of trust, that he trusts Christian and that they've got a long-standing, great relationship. So making me feel more comfortable with the relationship that Christian and
- Q. Before we move on, if we could go back to page 6 of the transcript. I'm looking at the very last lines, 23, 24.
- Dawkins says, "You know what I'm saying? We can help."

 What did you understand him to mean by "we can help"?

 If anything?
- 22 A. Pardon me?

Lamont had.

- 23 Q. I just said if anything.
- A. Just in the context I just had to -- from what I remember is well, he's saying you -- agents, we can help but you've got

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

- to -- the college coach relationship is important. He's

 saying, you know what I'm saying, we can help, meaning agents

 can help you. But Lamont Evans can help you more or the -- the

 same or more.
 - Q. What we just went over, is that just a portion of the conversation you had with Lamont Evans?
 - A. It is, yes.
 - Q. And you said earlier you believe your conversation with him lasted, I think you said over an hour-and-a-half; is that correct?
 - A. Somewhere around there, yes. It was a fairly long lunch, over lunch.
 - MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government wants to offer other portions of that conversation starting with Government Exhibit 501C and the related transcript which is 501CT.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. HANEY: No objection.

THE COURT: Very well 501C and 501CT will be received.

(Government's Exhibits 501C and 501CT received in evidence)

MR. BOONE: Ms. Bustillo, when you're ready you can begin playing that for us, please. Thank you.

(Audio played)

Q. Now, what -- during what time period of the meeting was this segment occurring?

Blazer - Direct

- A. I think we had finished or were wrapping up lunch and sort of heading out and we had gotten up and we kind of walked towards the entrance/exit of the restaurant.
 - Q. In that portion Dawkins mentioned there being like five PJs. What did you understand him to mean by that?
 - A. That dealing with -- at South Carolina and dealing with a coach like Lamont Evans who had PJ Dozier right now as a recruit in a player was great, but Lamont and South Carolina, Lamont was going to have five players come through like PJ Dozier, of that caliber. So building a long-term relationship with Lamont, you got PJ now but there was a pipeline of players like PJ that were going to come through. And that was the benefit of dealing with Lamont Evans, giving money to Lamont Evans. That was the benefit of doing that. Because you had a pipeline of players that was going to come through and they were going to come your way for -- my way for the business
 - Q. And what did you understand Dawkins to mean when he said, "the good thing about fucking with college coaches is good players every year, buddy"?

management, financial management.

A. It's in line with the five PJs. The good thing about if you're paying a college coach like Lamont and you're building that relationship with him, then you're going to have, at a school like South Carolina, you're going to have players like PJ Dozier every year that Lamont is going to have influence

Blazer - Direct

over and he's going to turn your way for business.

MR. BOONE: If we can continue to play the recording.

(Audio played)

Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be discussing in this segment of the conversation?

A. Well, it was really Christian and Lamont talking about — and I came from the football space so this wasn't really — so it was being explained to me, in my opinion, that he was talking about the — one particular recruit that they had had referenced that Lamont was working with or had signed for South Carolina. And what Christian was sort of adding the value to me in and explaining was that not only does a coach like Lamont Evans have the ability to help you identify and will take these recruits and turn them your way for the business management services but also a guy like Lamont is in a position to identify, almost mitigate the costs of what you might need to give to the player, the money that you might need to give to the player or the family. And he references a really good player who doesn't understand the value, his value yet.

So Lamont was going to be able to, per Christian, bring us into situations like that and say you guys can pay me and then I can tell you this player over here just needs \$5,000, pay his phone bill, pay a little bit here or there and I could really save you money because he doesn't understand what his value is. He doesn't know what the return on

Blazer - Direct

investment to you is going to be at this point. I can identify as a coach -- I can identify those type situations and not only bring that relationship back to you but whatever you need to invest money in that situation, that family, that player, I can save you money there as well, so increase your potential return on that investment. That's what I took that to mean.

MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around line 22. If we could just backup a little bit to orient ourselves and then move forward.

(Audio played)

- Q. Who was just talking?
- 12 A. Munish Sood.
 - Q. And what was he discussing?
 - A. He was making -- he was just reinforcing the point that Christian and Lamont just discussed and in reference to basically saying that he used to fly coach whenever he would go somewhere and then when he started flying first class it was very difficult for him to go back to coach because he knew what flying first class was like. And it was just in reference to Dwayne Huell and the recruit that they were talking about not being -- not really understanding what his value was and not being exposed to what else was out there. So we were in a position dealing with Lamont Evans to be able to take advantage of that. And Munish was just referencing that in an analogy.

MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around line 10, page

1 | 3. I

3. If we could continue.

(Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining here?
- A. In the first part of that piece of dialogue he's just referencing, again, the fact that he can in dealing with being involved with coaches, paying the coaches you can, again, mitigate the risks or mitigate the amount of money that you have to commit to a situation before it gets out of hand.

And then Christian talks about the difference between head coaches in college basketball and the assistant coaches. And he makes reference to with head coaches getting involved with this payment situation, one, they won't do it because they're making too much money; the head coaches are making way too much money in a major college basketball program and, also, it's too risky for them. So it's not the college coaches — it's not the head coaches in college basketball that you want to focus on paying. Like Lamont Evans, it's the assistant coaches, like Lamont Evans, you want to focus on the assistant coaches who aren't making as much money and who are more hands—on with the players and are willing to take the risk more than the head coach at South Carolina, Frank Martin, I believe.

MR. BOONE: If we could backup a little bit and go forward, please.

(Audio played)

Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean, "It falls on the

Blazer - Direct

assistants and if they don't deliver then they're fired"? 1 2 He's meaning, to me meaning that he -- again, the head 3 coaches versus assistant coaches. Head coaches aren't willing 4 to take money for a variety of reasons. But the business of 5 recruiting players and bringing players to the program and 6 taking money to do whatever is needed to be done falls on the 7 assistant coaches to do that. And if those assistant coaches don't recruit the players to bring to that program and elevate 8 that college basketball program, then they just get fired. 9 10 What do you mean by "do what needs to be done"? Ο. 11 What I mean by that is paying the recruits, the assistant 12 coach is making payments or payments or taking care of whatever 13 financial needs a recruiter, his family asks for, to come to 14 that -- to come to that program -- to make a decision to come 15 to that program. To incentivize that recruiter/player to come 16 to the program. 17 If we could continue. MR. BOONE: 18 (Audio played) What did you understand Evans to be talking about there? 19 Q. 20 There he's, again, making a reference to the elevation of 21 an assistant coach to a head coach and the money. And in that 22 piece he's referencing all you got to do is look in the media 23 quide and take a look at the coach's wife and when that coach 24 is there for a couple of years look at how his wife changes.

And he means that -- he's saying that the coach's wife maybe

has more expensive clothes or got surgery or something like that and he's just referencing an assistant coach's role and then the money when you elevate from an assistant coach to a head coach and how that life changes financially for a college coach at that level.

MR. BOONE: I believe we're at line 10, page 5. If we could continue.

(Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he said "That's the grind you have to go through"?
- A. He's explaining in reference to someone like Lamont Evans who was an assistant coach and is just actively, actively recruiting players and needs money to recruit those players and has to find people like me and like Christian at the time to give him or provide him with the money that he needs to incentivize these recruits to make a decision to strongly consider coming to South Carolina.

So he's saying that that recruiting and what that recruiting entails financially, paying these recruits to incentivize to come is the grind that an assistant coach needs to go through in hopes that he will become a head coach and then he really won't have to do that anymore.

Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean from line 12 where he said, "You got to shoulder that burden and kind of take it in chip"?

Blazer - Direct

A. It's the burden that an assistant coach in college basketball needs to take, the burden of recruiting and finding the monetary resources needed to bring top recruits to the program. And they have to do it. If they don't want to be fired or they have any hopes of being a head coach at a program, they've got to shoulder the burden and take it on the chin for a certain number of years until they can elevate to that position hopefully.

MR. BOONE: If we could continue.

MR. BOONE: If we could continue.

(Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in reference to PJ?
- A. He's referencing PJ Dozier there, the player at South Carolina. And what he's explaining to Munish and I was that if you had PJ Dozier in here right now and we asked him, PJ, who should I talk to about your stuff, meaning your business, he's not going to say Frank Martin, the head coach of South Carolina, he's going to say Lamont Evans, the assistant coach because Lamont is the guy that's brought him and Lamont financially or otherwise has taken care of everything that PJ's needed.

So Christian is referencing, in my opinion, the difference between who has influence over a player like PJ Dozier. Is it the head coach or the assistant coach? And he's saying it's the assistant coach, Lamont Evans.

Blazer - Direct

And what did you understand Dawkins to mean -- I'm looking 1 2 at lines 2 and 3 of page 7, "Your head coach, he's just a figurehead basically"? 3 4 I think by that he meant that the head coach is not really Α. 5 in touch with the players necessarily and isn't privy to what 6 has to happen, how the cake is baked or I think he's -- he's 7 basically saying it's not the head coach that really knows what is going on, it's assistant coaches who really are in touch 8 9 with the players. And, again, as Christian said, that grind, 10 what needs to be done to recruit top players at a program. The head coach is kind of hands off with that stuff. 11 12 MR. BOONE: OK. If we can continue. 13 (Audio played) 14 What did you understand Evans to mean when he said he feels Q. like he's done people's job for them? 15 Objection to foundation, your Honor. 16 MR. HANEY: 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 MR. HANEY: Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: First, he's complimenting Christian and 20 just saying that Christian works really, really hard and, 21 again, kind of establishing the trust and mentions that 22 Christian, again, is somebody that he trusts and works really 23 hard. But Lamont is saying I've done other people in 24 Christian's business, which is the agent management

representation, he's saying that I've been the one that has to

J409DAW2

Blazer - Direct

come out-of-pocket to pay these recruits and recruit these players with no assistance, with no help and then they go and are represented by some agent who really hasn't done anything to help me. So I've been doing the job for them.

He meant by that that Christian has done the work and

has paid him and has built a relationship with him and sort of earned that trust. That's what I got out of that.

THE COURT: It's now eleven o'clock, ladies and gentlemen, so we're going to take our first break. It will be fifteen minutes. So please be prepared to come out at quarter after the hour. Do not discuss the case.

(Continued on next page)

```
J409DAW2
                                Blazer - Direct
1
               (Jury not present)
2
               THE COURT: Please be seated. Any issues for me?
               Mr. Blazer, do you want to step down.
3
 4
               (Witness excused)
5
               MR. CHANEY: We don't have any issues.
6
               MR. HANEY: No, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: OK. Fifteen minutes. Don't be late.
8
               (Recess)
9
               (Continued on next page)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

J409DAW2 Blazer - Direct

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Boone.

MR. BOONE: Thank you.

I believe where we left off, we were going through Government Exhibit 501C and if we could start back the recording at the minute mark of 4 minutes and 13 seconds.

(Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand -- what point did you understand Dawkins to be making in his comparison of football to basketball?
- A. He's saying that that's why I want you to meet the college coaches because in basketball it's different than it is in football. The football player he references, Jadeveon Clowney, was a big football draft pick. And the NFL, the college players typically stay in school a lot longer they have to than the college basketball players. So to be building relationships, paying college coaches to help them with their recruiting or whatever they need is important because they get the players from the grassroots program and they bring them to their school, recruit them for their school. And then those players, they might be there one year and then they're gone because of the one and done that we discussed before.

Christian is explaining to me in the space that we're accustomed to, football, the difference between college football and college basketball, and when you have to get

Blazer - Direct

involved and why being involved with college coaches, assistant 1 2 coaches in basketball on the college level is so important MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around line 9, page 3 4 9. If we could continue, please. 5 (Audio played) 6 What did you understand Evans to mean when he says, "let me 7 tell you, " this is line 13 at page 10, "let me tell you, my shit be here. It be done"? 8 A. Lamont is basically -- I meant -- I understood that to mean 9 10 that Lamont was kind of selling his value to us, to me, and to 11 Munish Sood and saying that if I have the money that I need, I 12 get the recruits that I want. And so you should be -- I'm 13 worth dealing with, I'm worth paying this money because if the 14 money is in my hands I get the recruits. 15 MR. BOONE: I believe we left off around line 15, if 16 we can continue. 17 (Audio played) 18 Q. Now, looking at lines 2 and 3 at page 11. What did you 19 understand Dawkins to mean by "and if he don't have it. I can 20 only get so far"? 21 A. Saying if Lamont is a useful guy, if Lamont doesn't have 22 the money needed, the money for Lamont, he can only get so far. 23 He can't -- his hands would be tied with his ability to recruit 24 because if he doesn't have the money, he could only go so far.

So that's why we were going to pay Lamont.

1 MR. BOONE: If we could go forward, please. 2 (Audio played) MR. BOONE: I'm sorry. If we could actually skip to 3 4 minute mark 8. 5 (Audio played) Just to orient the jury, we're now on page 12 of the 6 7 transcript around lines 15 and 16. 8 What did you mean by being able to "mitigate those 9 needs"? 10 I was just referencing what was talked about before in the conversation and that if -- and kind of reinforcing or 11 12 reiterating what Lamont was saying and Christian was saying 13 about the -- Lamont being in a position to, if there was money 14 that was needed to recruit a player or when the player was with 15 Lamont on the team, Lamont would be in a great position to save us money because, as I said before, they were able to better 16 17 understand the expectations of the player and really reduce the costs that we would need to incur in addition to paying Lamont. 18 MR. BOONE: If we could continue around line 15 at 19 20 page 12. 21 (Audio played) 22 Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in that 23 seament? 24 A. He was explaining that in addition to mitigating the cost

that Lamont would be able to do for us with players, Lamont as

the assistant coach and the coach that recruited a player like PJ Dozier, he was there everyday. He was in front of him everyday. So if we made the investment in Lamont, if we were paying Lamont, Lamont was going to be with that player everyday so that there would be no surprises.

As far as PJ Dozier's business coming back our way, he was going to be able to keep, like he mentions his cousin Ricky not letting anybody get into the situation that we weren't -- we wouldn't be aware of. So Lamont was with PJ Dozier all the time and he was going to be able to control who was around PJ Dozier for us.

MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around lines 10, 11 on page 13. Continue, please.

(Audio played)

- Q. What point, if any, did you understand Dawkins to be making when he says "timing is everything"?
- A. Well, and I actually mentioned too from my experience in paying football players as well that timing is everything. If somebody if a player were to make a request or a family member were to ask you to pay them, to get them something that they needed and you weren't in a position or didn't do it, then that relationship could be lost. And Christian is talking about the same thing on this end that the when something needs to be done, it needs to be done, stepping up and taking over the payments for Lamont and whatever would be needed for

them.

5

6

7

8

9

- players, timing was everything. Timing in these things is
 everything because if you don't take advantage of what -- of
 what -- that somebody is asking at that moment, they're just
 going to move on to somebody else who is going to do it for
 - Q. Now, you testified earlier that this portion of the conversation was at the end when you were leaving the restaurant; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. What happened after you left the restaurant?
- 11 A. We left the restaurant and we all got in the car, Munish,
 12 Christian Dawkins and I, and we drove back to Atlanta from
- Columbia, South Carolina. I was driving. Christian was in the
- 14 passenger's seat. And Munish was in the back to start.
- Q. On a general level what, if anything, did you discuss with those individuals while you were driving back to Atlanta?
- A. We discussed the nature of the meeting with Lamont, that it
- 18 was good. And we discussed the logistics of how Christian and
- 19 what Christian was paying Lamont Evans, and then discussed a
- 20 | little bit more -- Christian had brought up some other coaches
- 21 and relationships and, again, just reinforced why it was so
- 22 | important to be paying these coaches.
- 23 | Q. Did you record this conversation?
- 24 | A. I did.
- MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers a

Blazer - Direct

recording of that conversation. It is Government Exhibit 501D 1 2 and we also offer the related transcript which is 501DT. 3 THE COURT: Any objection? 4 No objection, your Honor. MR. HANEY: 5 THE COURT: OK. Those exhibits will be received. (Government's Exhibits 501D and 501DT received in 6 7 evidence) 8 MR. BOONE: Ms. Bustillo, when you have a moment you can begin playing the recording, please. 9 10 (Audio played) 11 What did you understand -- Dawkins references someone named 12 Tony Bland. Who is Tony Bland? 13 Tony Bland was the associate head basketball coach as USC. Α. 14 And what did you understand Dawkins to be explaining to you about Tony Bland? 15 A. He -- we were referencing the fact that the meeting with 16 17 Lamont was good and Christian brought up another coach that he 18 had a relationship with similar to that of Lamont and he 19 mentioned Tony Bland. And that's another person, another coach 20 that we should meet, Munish and I should meet, and just said 21 that he just got off the phone with him and explained a little 22 bit about how -- what Tony was like and that he was a great 23 person that we should -- we should get involved with like 24 Lamont Evans.

What do you mean "get involved with like Lamont Evans"?

Blazer - Direct

A. Pay. Pay Tony Bland the same way we were working out the arrangement to pay Lamont Evans.

MR. BOONE: I believe we were around line 20. If we could go forward, please.

(Audio played)

- Q. What do you understand Dawkins to be explaining in this segment?
- A. Well, Christian is explaining that he has a lot of coaches, a lot of coaches a lot of coaching relationships like Lamont and Tony Bland. And he is explaining to us that he kind of grew up with that, with college coaches coming through.

The reference to Draymond and LaMarr is LaMarr Woodley was an NFL client that I was financial adviser to and Draymond is Draymond Green. And Christian and I talked about --

- Q. Who is Draymond Green?
- A. Draymond Green is an NBA basketball player. He plays for Golden State Warriors. And he was -- he was -- Draymond and LaMarr Woodley were very close friends as well. And Christian just was referencing people that we both knew in Saginaw, because Christian had grown up in Saginaw, Michigan. And Draymond and LaMarr both grew up in Saginaw as well.

And then he was wrenching the fact that Tom Izzo, the head coach of Michigan State, was in Christian's house crying because Christian's dad directed Draymond or didn't help Tom Izzo initially send Draymond Green to Michigan State and he was

J409DAW2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

292

initially going to go to Kentucky but then chose to go to
Michigan State to play basketball.

- Q. What, if anything, did Dawkins say about his father?
- A. His father was also an assistant or a coach at Northern Illinois.

MR. BOONE: We can continue around line 13 on page 3. (Audio played)

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in this portion?
- Well there was a lot there but essentially what Christian was discussing is, again, selling the coaching -- the idea of paying college coaches and like we were going to do with Lamont and how beneficial that would be for us and he references the fact that it's even more of a value and almost a sure thing in that if you're paying the college coach and that college coach doesn't, for one reason or another, send his player your way, then you pretty much have leverage over that college coach because you've been paying that college coach. And if it ever got out that you were paying that college coach, that college coach could get in trouble too, whether it was by the NCAA or by the -- by the NCAA or what's happening -- what happened now to the college coaches being paid. But if it ever got out they could be fired, they could be in trouble too. So they have a very vested interest -- you have a ton of leverage over the college coach. And even if there's a situation where it

Blazer - Direct

doesn't work out and they can't send that particular player your way, again, like we were thinking, there's a pipeline of other players.

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I'd object. He's narrating a lot about what he claims my client said and we can read the transcript of what my client said, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

Mr. Boone, why don't you direct him more specifically.

- Q. When Dawkins says on line 13, "He's going to block everybody from coming around," what did you understand him to mean by that?
- A. That Lamont Evans will keep anybody else who is in the business or financial or anybody else who would have influence over the player, Lamont Evans will be in a position to block them, not let them anywhere near the player.
- Q. And on the next line, line 14 when Dawkins says, "He's going to bring, give you access to the situation, the parents, whatever," what did you understand him to mean by that?
- A. If we are paying Lamont Evans, Lamont Evans will give us access to the player directly and, again, keep everybody else out and will give us access to the parents or anybody else who is involved with the player.
- Q. And when he says in the next sentence, "You know, when a time is right, like everything will be lined up because that's his job to," what did you understand him to mean by that?

to mean by that?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

294

- A. When the player was ready to make the decision or was about to enter the -- turn professional, that Lamont would make sure that everything was in order for that player to sign with us as
- 4 their financial adviser or business adviser and that was part
- of the arrangement that was involved in paying Lamont as well.
 - Q. And finally when Dawkins says, "That's what like you almost got him by the balls so to speak," what did you understand him
 - A. By paying Lamont Evans and Lamont Evans taking that money you had incredible leverage over Lamont Evans.
 - MR. BOONE: OK. We can go forward with the recording, please.

(Audio played)

- Q. There's a reference to someone named Duffy. Do you know who that is?
 - A. Yes. It's a reference to Bill Duffy who is a sports agent who represents a number of NBA players, high-end NBA players. He has an agency that was similar, competitive to Andy Miller Sports.
 - Q. On line 2 of page 5 Dawkins says, "Duffy got about five schools," what did you understand him to be referring to?
- A. Similar to what we were doing or what was being proposed that we do with Lamont Evans at South Carolina, Bill Duffy was doing the same thing with coaches at those five schools and he had mentioned Syracuse and a couple of other ones.

J409DAW2 Blazer - Direct

- What do you mean, "doing the same thing"? 1
- 2 Paying the college coaches for that leverage so that those Α.
- coaches would refer their clients back to Bill Duffy for agent 3
- 4 representation.

5

- Did you know Duffy personally?
- No, I didn't. 6 Α.
- 7 MR. BOONE: And if we could continue, please.
- 8 (Audio played)
- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining when he 9
- 10 says, "You got direct access, you're the one controlling the
- 11 kids in regards to the assistant coach?"
- 12 I'm looking at lines 1 and 2 on page 6.
- 13 A. Meaning that Lamont Evans in his position had -- the
- 14 assistant coaches, we said before -- had direct access to the
- 15 players. He was more involved with the players that he
- recruited and that he coached. 16
- 17 Q. And when Dawkins says, "If he's the head coach and he's
- just telling his assistants, his assistants, these are my guys, 18
- they don't necessarily have to fuck with your guys," what did 19
- 20 you understand him to mean?
- 21 A. Well, he was explaining about Lamont's next move, Lamont's
- 22 upward mobility; if Lamont was going to be a head coach or if
- 23 he was going to be an assistant coach at a more powerful
- 24 And what he's saying there is that the head coach,
- 25 the assistant coach has direct access but a head coach is --

J409DAW2 Blazer - Direct

and that's why he'd rather Lamont be an assistant coach at a more powerful basketball school because the head coach really doesn't get involved as much in where the representation or the business management, financial management decisions from the players go, that direction. The head coach isn't as hands-on. (Continued on next page)

J40HDaw3

Blazer - Direct

MR. BOONE: If we could continue playing. We're around line 8 on page 6.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here.

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in that segment?
- A. So, again, it kind of leads off, and Christian's talking about the difference between a head coach and an assistant coach and really trying to sell or help us understand the value in paying Lamont Evans as an assistant coach. And he just reinforces, once again, the fact that Lamont Evans as an assistant coach can also dictate when you are -- you're paying the coach and then the coach introduces you to one of the players that he recruited, that assistant coach can dictate and, again, mitigate the costs needed, the money that needs to be paid to the player and his family while they're in South Carolina.

And Christian says, basically, he can tell you what needs to be done so that you can get in there and start paying the family. The assistant coach can tell you what money needs to be paid to the player and the player's family before the player really gets to a level where his value is going to go up much more and it's going to cost you more to get involved in the player's relationship.

MR. BOONE: If we could play the rest of the

1 recording.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Audio played)

BY MR. BOONE:

Q. We've talked about this a little bit before, but what did you understand to be the comparison made between football and basketball?

MR. HANEY: Object, your Honor. It's asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. HANEY: Thank you.

- A. Christian is just explaining my experience in football, that football -- recruiting college football players is different than recruiting college basketball players. You have to get in -- you have to get in when the college basketball players are still in the grass roots level when the college coaches are recruiting those players because they will make the jump from juniors -- well, seniors in high school to freshmen in college, and then they'd be eligible for the NBA.
- Q. Now, did the recording we just went over, did that capture the entirety of the conversation you recorded in the car ride?

 A. No. No, there was more to the call or the conversation.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government would like to offer Government Exhibit 501E, which is a continuation of a recorded conversation we just went over, as well as the related

25 | transcript, which is Government Exhibit 501ET.

1 THE COURT: Any objection? 2 MR. CHANEY: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: 501E and 501ET will be received. 3 4 (Government's Exhibits 501E and 501ET received in 5 evidence) 6 (Audio played) 7 BY MR. BOONE: What did you understand Dawkins to be referring to when he 8 mentioned giving \$2,500 a month? 9 10 Α. That Christian was giving Lamont Evans \$2,500 a month. 11 Did you have an understanding as to how he had been paying 12 Lamont Evans? 13 A. Well, yes, I asked him how he had been paying him, and he 14 said -- he said cash. He said he would come down, drop down to 15 South Carolina once a month and give him \$2,500 cash because there are no -- don't have any paper trail on it. He also said 16

- maybe they would meet in Atlanta because Lamont recruited in
- 18 Atlanta, so sometimes he would give him the \$2,500 there.
- Q. If we could go to the next page of the transcript. There
 was also a reference to PJ. What did you understand that
- 21 reference to be?
- 22 A. The reference to PJ Dozier and Christian was just saying
- 23 | that if we -- if we came into -- if Munish and I came into
- 24 | Columbia, South Carolina, to pay Lamont the \$2,500 a month,
- 25 | that we would also be able to probably see PJ Dozier and his

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

- 1 mom as well, so it would be a good idea, in addition to seeing 2 Lamont and paying Lamont.
 - Q. Now, we can step away from the recording, the transcript for a moment.

How did your trip to South Carolina end?

- A. Munish Christian and I drove back about four hours from Columbia, South Carolina, to Atlanta, and I believe Munish and I dropped Christian off at Atlanta Hartsfield airport, and it was late and he caught a flight. And I believe I went back to my hotel in Atlanta and then flew out the next day.
- Q. After your trip to South Carolina, did you ever talk to Evans again?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- 14 | Q. How often?
- 15 A. Quite often. I'm not sure how many times. We talked quite 16 a bit.
- 17 | Q. How did you typically communicate with him?
 - A. Either via telephone call or text message.
- 19 | Q. Were those telephone calls recorded?
- 20 A. Yes, they were.
- 21 Q. Just generally speaking, what was the nature of your
- 22 | communication?
- 23 A. The nature of the communication was typically business
- 24 oriented. It was about players that he was recruiting or
- 25 | players that he had and, more importantly, about money that I

- 1 was going to give to him and when we would be able to set it up so that I could get him money. 2
 - Did you ultimately pay Evans?
- I did. 4 Α.
- 5 Do you remember when, approximately, you began paying
- 6 Evans?

3

- 7 A. Not a hundred percent sure, but I believe it started one
- 8 time in New York and then we did -- and then I paid him in Fort
- Lauderdale or in Miami, but I think it was shortly after the --9
- 10 this March meeting.
- 11 This March meeting, what year was that?
- 12 Α. March of 2016.
- 13 Do you remember for approximately how long did you pay him? 0.
- 14 I paid Lamont up until the end of July 2017. Α.
- 15 Q. How often did you pay him?
- I tried to pay him every month, every other month, just 16
- 17 like we had -- we had agreed.
- 18 How did you typically pay him?
- 19 Typically, I would give him cash. I would pay him in cash
- 20 or I would send him wires from my bank account, money that was
- 21 put into that bank account by the investigators, the agents
- 22 from the FBI.
- 23 Q. Now, you just mentioned traveling to New York. I think you
- 24 said also Florida. Did you ever travel to pay Lamont Evans?
- 25 Yes, I did. Α.

- 1 Q. Where'd you travel?
 - A. New York; Morgantown, West Virginia; I think Atlanta one time; Orlando; Miami; Las Vegas. I think that's -- I think that's all the different places I met him.
 - Q. When you traveled to Florida to pay him, do you recall recording any conversations over the telephone you had with him?
 - A. Yes.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government wants to offer or does offer Government Exhibit 413, which is a recording of a phone call between Marty Blazer and Lamont Evans around one of the trips he just testified to.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, could we approach for just a moment, please?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Continued on next page)

Blazer - Direct

(At sidebar)

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we haven't been objecting because none of this information relates to our client, but at this point I think we're going to have a whole lot of information that -- of conduct by this guy and conversations with this guy that are well before the date in which my client is alleged to have joined in this particular conspiracy. I think the government alleges that my client joined this conspiracy at the June 20, 2017, meeting. So.

I'm going to ask for an instruction that all of the testimony that has been provided thus far and any testimony up to and including the date of June 20, 2017, cannot be considered by the jury in debating its case with respect to my client.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, this happens quite often in conspiracy cases. I don't think the instruction can be that you cannot consider it. It's certainly relevant background. There are sometimes instructions given to remind the jury that they have to find guilt as to the defendants individually, consider them sort of separately, but in terms of not considering at all the events of the conspiracy that happened beforehand is not proper, frankly.

THE COURT: You're not asking that they be instructed as to that now, are you?

MR. MOORE: I'm asking that they be instructed at some

Blazer - Direct

point while Mr. Blazer's on the stand. So if you want me to give you a proposed instruction, I can give you a proposed instruction. My concern is that we're going to sit through — by my estimation, we're not going to finish Mr. Blazer's direct tomorrow and we may not even get to my client by tomorrow, and all of this evidence they're hearing, they're not hearing a word about Merl Code. And I am going to want an instruction at the appropriate time. Whenever your Honor deems it most appropriate, you want me to just give you a proposed instruction?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SOLOWIEJCZYK: Can I make one point, your Honor? There is going to be evidence in this trial that Merl Code became aware of the fact that these other members of the conspiracy and that Mr. Blazer had been making payments to Lamont Evans in the past. That's something to consider when assessing the relevance of this as to Mr. Merl Code.

THE COURT: No one is saying it's not relevant, because the conspiracy alleged is broader than that in which Mr. Code is alleged to have participated in. So I think, on a relevance basis, it comes in. But the point is well-taken that the jury ought to understand that Mr. Code, I guess, cannot be held responsible for anything that happened before he entered the conspiracy.

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.

J40HDaw3 Blazer - Direct THE COURT: Whether we do that now or some other point, I think it's immaterial quite honestly but I'm happy to consider any language that you give. MR. MOORE: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. (Continued on next page)

1 (In open court; jury present)

MR. BOONE: I think where we left off, your Honor, we offered Government Exhibit 413 and the related transcript, Government Exhibit 413T.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. MOORE: No objection, your Honor. Just subject to my comments at the sidebar, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, sir, subject to that, 413 will be received.

(Government's Exhibits 413 and 413T received in evidence)

MR. BOONE: Ms. Bustillo, when you have it ready, if you could play the recording, please.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: If we could just pause here.

- Q. Mr. Blazer, do you recall making this recording?
- 17 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

- 18 Q. Who are you speaking with on this recording?
- 19 A. Lamont Evans.
- 20 Q. Where were you at the time you made this recording?
- 21 A. I was in a -- I think I was in a hotel in Miami, Florida.
- 22 Q. Why were you in Miami, Florida?
- A. I was to meet Lamont Evans to pay Lamont Evans his monthly amount.
 - Q. At this point had you already met with Lamont Evans, or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

Blazer - Direct

1 | were you about to meet with Lamont Evans?

A. I -- I believe I met with him there already, yes.

MR. BOONE: If we could just continue with the recording, please.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here for a moment. I believe we're around line 11 of page 2 of the transcript.

- Q. If you could help the jury provide context, what are you talking about here?
- 10 What I'm talking about is we -- Lamont, we agreed to pay 11 Lamont on a monthly basis, Munish and I, Munish Sood. 12 Munish and I were both down in Miami to meet with Lamont, and 13 part of Lamont's payment was to come from me and part of 14 Lamont's payment was to come from Munish. And I believe prior 15 to this I had given Lamont my piece, what I had committed to paying him, money, and Munish was supposed to have met with 16 17 Lamont. And Lamont drove down from Fort Lauderdale to Miami, which is about a 30-minute drive, and Munish either didn't -- I 18 think he showed up, but I don't think he had the money for 19 20 Lamont. So Lamont was -- Lamont and I were talking, and Lamont
 - Q. How much had you paid him?

Munish didn't have the money.

A. I -- I think on that visit I paid him, I believe it was, \$1,500. \$1,500, I believe.

was upset that he drove down from Fort Lauderdale to Miami and

4

- 1 | Q. And that was at the direction of law enforcement?
 - A. That was at the direction of law enforcement, yes.
- MR. BOONE: OK. If we could continue, please.
 - (Audio played)
- 5 MR. BOONE: If you could pause here.
- 6 | Q. You reference someone named Aaron. Who is that?
- 7 A. It was a friend of mine in -- that was down in Miami with
- 8 us. His name is Aaron Killington.
- 9 Q. And you said you ran everything through him. Can you
- 10 explain what you meant by that.
- 11 A. I meant I explained to him the situation in paying Lamont,
- 12 and Lamont -- Lamont helping us out with the business
- management side with referring players to us.
- 14 | Q. So had you discussed Aaron with Evans, Lamont Evans,
- 15 before?
- 16 | A. Just in that conversation when we were down there, but
- 17 | nothing -- nothing about what we were doing, no.
- 18 | Q. Doing in terms of what?
- 19 A. Paying Lamont Evans.
- 20 Q. So why are you telling -- why are you mentioning Aaron to
- 21 || Evans?
- 22 | A. Just to keep Evans -- just to keep Lamont knowing that I
- 23 was trying to get him the money and looking for different
- 24 resources to get him the money that Munish said he was going to
- 25 pay him, but he didn't pay him.

1	MR. BOONE: We can continue.
2	(Audio played)
3	MR. BOONE: You can pause here.
4	Q. What did you understand Evans to mean when he said, "I
5	could easily get a bag from someone else"?
6	A. Lamont was saying that he instead of us paying him,
7	instead of me and Munish paying him, he was saying that he
8	could easily find another financial adviser or business adviser
9	to step in our place and do the same thing and make those
10	payments to him if we didn't come through and pay him.
11	MR. BOONE: OK. We can continue.
12	(Audio played)
13	MR. BOONE: If we could pause there.
14	Q. Line 16 and 17, Evans references "paying for a service."
15	What did you understand him to mean by that?
16	A. Just in our discussions when we met with him sort of
17	referencing the fact that if we were making payments to him, he
18	was going to be referring clients that he was recruiting or
19	clients that he had on his team to us for the business and
20	financial services.
21	MR. BOONE: OK. We can continue, please.
22	(Audio played)
23	MR. BOONE: Pause here.
24	Q. What did you understand Evans to be explaining?
25	A. There's a couple of things there. I mean, Lamont was

pretty upset that Munish hadn't gotten the money to him. And,		
basically, he's saying that when I go in and recruit a player,		
that player is yours even if you even if you don't know him,		
and it's not like I'm going to bring you in and say, these are		
the guys who are going to handle the financial or business		
management. You're part of the financial management; you're		
part of the recruitment package. If you're paying me, these		
guys come in, and you're part of the recruitment package.		
There's no there's no "these are the people that I want you		
to talk to for your financial or business management."		
And then he goes on to say in this same piece that		
that basically if anybody else comes around and tries to work		
with the player or talk to the player about those services,		
he'll keep them out. He'll crush him. He won't let them in.		
MR. BOONE: I believe we stopped around line 22 on		
page 5 of the transcript. If we could continue, please.		
(Audio played)		
MR. BOONE: We could pause here.		
Q. There's reference to a lot of sort of number figures.		
A. Yes.		
Q. What do you understand		
MR. MOORE: Your Honor, could we approach for a		
moment, please?		
THE COURT: OK.		
(Continued on next page)		

Blazer - Direct

(At sidebar)

MR. MOORE: For my client I'm going to make a 403 objection to this witness explaining in exhaustive detail what he believes another member of the conspiracy who is not participating in this trial means. This is evidence that relates to conduct that occurred prior to my client's joining the conspiracy. I understand that the government is offering this as coconspirator statements, but what the government's really doing here is they're offering a coconspirator's statement of a non-testifying coconspirator and then letting Mr. Blazer explain what he believes that coconspirator was intending or means.

So I believe that under 403 that is overly prejudicial to my client. I don't see the probative value of it. So I must object.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, it's permissible under Rule 803. It's obviously relevant because one of the charges is a charge against Dawkins specifically regarding the Evans scheme. So we have to prove that scheme, and obviously we have to prove — in proving that scheme, we are trying to show that the scheme actually worked to some extent. They talked about paying coaches; they paid the coach. The coach is acknowledging the situation and explaining in detail what he intends to do. In every case in which there is a conspiracy, it is common for a witness to explain what the members of the

coded language used.

conspiracy are talking about. They don't have to be sitting at

the trial table in order for them to be able to do that. The jury needs to be able to understand what's going on, particularly when it's not entirely clear and there's often

MR. MOORE: With all due respect, I've tried a lot more conspiracy cases than I think Mr. Boone has. I have never seen this done this way before, but I understand I'm in a different place, OK? I understand that.

My point is that this evidence is not admissible against my client pursuant to 801 or 802 or 803 because he is not a conspirator at this point. So this evidence is completely inadmissible against my client. He was not an alleged member of this conspiracy at the time these statements were made, and so I'm asking your Honor to either stop this or instruct the jury now that this testimony cannot be considered against Mr. Code.

THE COURT: I don't have to do it now. Just under 403 objection, the testimony, as I understand it, it's clear to me from the transcript it's just more of the same of what we've been hearing, that coaches are getting paid, that they're getting paid thousands of dollars, and that they're getting paid by individuals like Mr. Blazer and other financial advisers. So from a 403 perspective, it's simply more of the same. I don't think it's overly prejudicial to Mr. Code, and

at the appropriate time, the jury will be instructed that they cannot hold anything -- that they can't hold him accountable for anything that happened before he entered the conspiracy.

MR. HANEY: I would note at this particular time, though, Blazer and Code don't have a partnership formed or anything. Dawkins is working for ASM. Blazer's now taken over this relationship with Lamont Evans for his own benefit. He and Munish Sood are financial planners. There's no association at this point. That occurs later, this relationship that occurs between Munish Sood and Christian Dawkins, later. At this point, Christian Dawkins' still working for Andy Miller.

So whatever Marty Blazer is doing at this point down in Miami with Lamont Evans, there's no nexus necessarily to that and Dawkins. So what they're doing is they're proving Lamont Evans' guilt. I don't see how this relates to Christian Dawkins who's now passed this guy off to Marty Blazer to hustle money out of him. I don't see how it's relevant to either defendant in this case.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, if you want me to address this, number one, Christian Dawkins, as we've sort of gone over in exhaustive detail, obviously wanted Blazer to get involved so that they could both be successful in this arrangement.

There will be testimony later that Dawkins does inquire about Blazer what's going on with Lamont. I think maybe we may not get to it today, but certainly tomorrow there will be

Blazer - Direct

discussions between Blazer and Dawkins about Lamont Evans and what's happening with him and how are things working out with him past this point. So the argument that he's sort of -- it's not relevant anymore doesn't fit.

MR. HANEY: Your Honor, it's not a partnership with somebody. That's somebody — that guy I told, that coach you should get a relationship with. At this point Munish Sood and Martin Blazer have their independent company, this management company, this financial planning outfit. There's no association with ASM. Christian Dawkins is working for an agency here in New York, for Andy Miller Sports. He's not broken off yet at this point in time.

THE COURT: As I understand the government's theory in the conspiracy alleged, Mr. Dawkins conspired with Mr. Blazer in order to bring Mr. Blazer in to provide finances so that subsequently, ultimately, Mr. Dawkins will have the benefit of having established that relationship through the coaches so that he can then represent the players, as I understand it.

MR. BOONE: Yes, your Honor. And it, frankly, doesn't matter where he works. The charge is they had an agreement to pay coaches, whether he's working for ASM or ABC, or whoever, the point is those two individuals had a meeting of the minds regarding paying Lamont Evans. Lamont Evans was a part of that conspiracy, clearly, and that's what he's been charged with and that's what we're proving up.

1	MR. HANEY: We, obviously, don't agree.
2	MR. MOORE: And I would simply make the point that I
3	think there are multiple conspiracy issues here in this case.
4	We will be asking for a multiple conspiracy charge at the
5	conclusion of the case. But at this point, I would ask your
6	Honor to instruct the jury that this testimony that they have
7	heard thus far cannot be considered against Mr. Code. I would
8	also ask your Honor I will be objecting or we will be
9	objecting to any more of these Lamont Evans calls that are just
10	between Lamont Evans and Mr. Blazer and that do not involve
11	Mr. Dawkins or Mr. Code.
12	MR. BOONE: This is the last one.
13	MR. HANEY: And I would agree.
14	THE COURT: This is the last one?
15	MR. BOONE: And the only one.
16	THE COURT: Very well.
17	MR. BOONE: First and last.
18	THE COURT: It will be admitted over your objections.
19	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
20	(Continued on next page)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

(In open court; jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Boone.

MR. BOONE: If we could, just so we can reorient the jury where we left off, go back and play maybe the last 15 seconds.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: You can pause here.

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in this segment?
- Lamont Evans was -- Lamont was saying that he was just, again, sort of venting about the dynamic of what we're paying him and the value that he can bring in a player. And he was just explaining to me, again, if you're -- if I have a recruit that comes in and you're already in there and I say that the player and his family need \$5,000 for whatever their needs might be, and you can do that early on and pay me the \$2,000 and that's \$7,000, then you've got a guy who is a potential first round NBA first round draft pick and you've got that player for \$7,000, and then maybe you need a little bit more. Just going over some of the other numbers that might need to be invested in that player relationship. But, essentially, he's saying, again, paying me \$2,000 a month, and then I can sort of get the player for you and I can drive and dictate what the costs to you to further handle that player's needs are going to be.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

MR. BOONE: If we could continue, please.

(Audio played)

MR. BOONE: Pause here.

- Q. There's, again, a lot of numbers referenced. What did you understand Evans to be saying here?
- To me, remembering it when it was said reminded me of what Christian said about skipping a step. When you're dealing just with the agents, you're -- you've got to be dealing with the coach. And I think Lamont was pointing that out in this, that if you're -- if you're in with this player that I'm recruiting now and I -- you're paying me and I can tell you what it takes to secure the player, to take care of what the player needs, and you're doing that, then when the agent steps in, the agent's not going to be able to dictate terms to you because I already dictated terms to you. I already told you what the player needs, and you already got that moving forward. So no agent now is going to step in and say, OK, Marty, Munish, financial business advisers, this is what you need to come up with to help out the situation. It wasn't the agent that was going to dictate it. It was the -- it was him; it was the coach.

That's kind of what Lamont was saying was just that value, and he was putting together the numbers in reference to what he had known how big that could be when it gets to those levels with the potential elite basketball player.

Blazer - Direct

MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 5 of page 8 of 1 2 the transcript. If we could continue with the recording, 3 please. 4 (Audio played) 5 MR. BOONE: Pause here. 6 Q. What did you understand Evans to be referring to when he 7 says, "I know what I can do with the seven right now, the eight, whatever, " and goes on to say, "it locks in two guys"? 8 A. That was reference to money that he wanted to get from 9 10 myself and from Munish Sood. And he said, I know what that 11 seven or 8,000, that seven or \$8,000 can -- guys that he can 12 recruiting, can go to those guys, and then he -- or those 13 players, and then he locks those players in for his school, 14 recruiting. 15 MR. BOONE: If we can continue, please. (Audio played) 16 17 MR. BOONE: Pause there. 18 Q. What did you understand Evans to mean that it will be 19 locking it in for you guys? 20 A. Meaning that if he -- if we gave the money and he secured 21 the recruits with that money, that he would be referring those 22 players back to Munish and I for the business and financial 23 services. 24 MR. BOONE: We can continue with the recording.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

(Audio played)

- 1 MR. BOONE: Pause here. Thank you.
- Q. What did you understand Evans to mean by "shit-bringing conversation"?
- A. Meaning that if he had people around him that he brought
 from Fort Lauderdale to Miami with him, then they would start
 asking questions about what was going on, what the relationship
- 7 was between Lamont and Munish and I.
- Q. And there's a reference to a World Wide Wes. Do you know what that is?
- 10 A. Yes, he's a -- he's kind of a consultant for an agency,
- 11 consultant for CAA. He's been around basketball and football
- 12 | quite a bit, and from the -- all the way from the grass roots
- 13 | in basketball up to NBA. He's been around brands like Nike and
- 14 Adidas. Sort of a public figure, but he's very well-known out
- 15 there as somebody that is very visible. And Lamont was saying,
- 16 | I'm not World Wide Wes. I don't want to have those
- 17 conversations. I don't want to have a lot of people around me
- 18 | or draw attention to myself.
- 19 MR. BOONE: OK. If we could continue.
- 20 (Audio played)
- 21 BY MR. BOONE:
- 22 | Q. How did you typically pay Evans?
- 23 | A. In cash.
- 24 | O. And how much?
- 25 A. Typically, it was roughly \$2,000. It was -- I'd piece it

- 1 | together, but it would be 500 here, like I said, 1,500 there.
- 2 But it was roughly \$2,000, and it got all the way up to
- 3 approximately 4,000, 4,500 a month at the end when I stopped
- 4 paying him.
- 5 | Q. How did you determine the amount to pay him?
- 6 A. By the investigators.
- 7 | Q. Where did the money come from?
- 8 A. The money initially, as I said, came from me. It was just
- 9 savings that I had. And then eventually, when the FBI got
- 10 | involved, it was FBI moneys.
- 11 | Q. Was all the money you paid at the direction of law
- 12 | enforcement?
- 13 A. Yes, it was.
- 14 | Q. Did you ever provide Evans with gifts?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 | Q. What type of gifts?
- 17 | A. I believe -- I believe it was just -- I'd given him a
- 18 couple of sets of headphones, earphones. One was a brand
- 19 called Sol Republic that I just had, I had at my home, and I
- 20 | gave them -- I sent them to him. And another one he had asked
- 21 | me if I had any connections with Dre Beats. He wanted a
- 22 | specific pair of Dre Beats headphones, and so FBI got those for
- 23 | him, and I gave those to him when we met in Morgantown, West
- 24 Virginia.

25

Q. Do you remember how much they cost?

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

- A. The Dre Beats were maybe, I don't know, \$300, \$350 pair of headphones. I'm not a hundred percent sure.
 - Q. What was the purpose of giving Evans these gifts along with the money?
 - A. To strengthen the relationship, and he asked for them. I mean, he asked for the headphones, but to -- the ones that I gave him were to strengthen the relationship between us.
- Q. And what was your understanding as to what Evans would do, if anything, in exchange for the money and gifts?
 - A. My understanding, 100 percent, was that he was going to refer his players or recruits to me for business management services.
- Q. Now, did there come a time when Evans left his coaching job at South Carolina?
 - A. Yes. He left his coaching job at South Carolina and took, what I understood to be, an associate or assistant head coach position at Oklahoma state.
 - Q. Do you remember when he left?
- A. I'm not sure, but I believe it was -- I believe at the time
 of that call, which was August of 2016, he was at Oklahoma
 State, yes.
- 22 Q. Do you know why he left South Carolina for Oklahoma State?
- A. No, I don't, but I was assuming that it was for a better position.
- Q. When he left, you were still paying him money at that time?

- 1 | A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. So what effect, if any -- did his move from one job to
- 3 another affect your arrangement?
- 4 A. It really had no effect on it. Our relationship was still
- 5 \parallel the same.
- 6 Q. Did you continue to pay him while he was at Oklahoma State
- 7 University?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. Now, you testified earlier that in exchange for paying
- 10 | Evans, your understanding was Evans was going to steer players
- 11 | towards you. What players, if any, did Evans introduce you to?
- 12 A. Me personally or --
- 13 Q. You personally.
- 14 A. Me personally, just one. When he was at Oklahoma State, he
- 15 | introduced me to a player, Jeffrey Carroll, and we met in
- 16 | Morgantown, West Virginia.
- 17 | Q. When, approximately, did you meet Jeffrey Carroll with
- 18 | Evans?
- 19 | A. February of 2017.
- 20 | Q. Where did you meet?
- 21 | A. We met in the Oklahoma State basketball team's hotel, and
- 22 | we met in -- we met in Lamont Evans' room.
- 23 | Q. You said that was in West Virginia?
- 24 A. Yes, they were -- Oklahoma State was playing West Virginia
- 25 University in a basketball game, and we met the night before

- 1 | their game.
- 2 \ Q. Who was present in the room?
- 3 A. It was me, Lamont Evans, and Jeffrey Carroll.
- 4 | Q. Was that meeting recorded by law enforcement?
- 5 \parallel A. Yes, yes, it was.
- 6 Q. And what was the purpose of that meeting?
- 7 A. Well, the purpose was, number one, I gave Lamont \$2,000 in
- 8 cash and the headphones, the Dre Beats, and Lamont made the
- 9 | introduction of Jeffrey Carroll to me to introduce me as his
- 10 guy and the person that would handle Jeffrey's business
- 11 advisory services when he turned pro.
- 12 | Q. What reaction, if any, did Carroll have to what was said at
- 13 | the meeting?
- 14 A. He was completely open and in agreement with everything
- 15 | that Lamont said.
- 16 | Q. How did the meeting end?
- 17 A. We had -- the meeting with Jeff was really good. We had
- 18 | just agreed to continue to talk, and Jeff left the room. And
- 19 then Lamont and I continued to talk for a little bit longer,
- 20 and then Lamont walked me out to my car.
- 21 | Q. Did you ever meet with Carroll again?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 | Q. Do you know if he ultimately became a professional
- 24 basketball player?
- 25 A. No, he did not, I believe.

- Q. Earlier in your testimony, you mentioned PJ Dozier. You
 said it was a player at the University of South Carolina. Did
 Evans ever introduce Dozier to you?
- 4 A. Not to me, not to me personally, no.
- Q. Do you know if Evans ever introduced Dozier to Sood or
- 6 Dawkins?
- A. He introduced him. I'm not sure about Christian, but I know he introduced him to Munish and PJ's mother.
- 9 Q. How do you know that?
- 10 A. Munish told me and Lamont told me as well.
- Q. Now, after Dawkins introduced you to Evans -- I'm talking
 about the trip in South Carolina we went over -- did there come
- a time when you and Dawkins stopped speaking regularly to each
- 14 other?
- 15 A. Yes, the meeting in -- the meeting in Columbia, South
 16 Carolina, was March of 2016, and we kind of stopped talking to
- 17 | each other around May of 2016.
- Q. For how long were you not speaking to each other directly, approximately?
- A. We would a little bit here and there, but we really kind of picked up the conversation again in almost a year, around May
- 22 | of 2017.
- 23 Q. Why did you stop speaking?
- A. Christian kind of stopped speaking, didn't kind of, but stopped speaking to me because May 2016 was when the SEC civil

- suit was settled, and the information about what I had done in the movies and music investments came out and was it everywhere. So those SEC charges were all over the place, and so Christian just kind of stopped talking to me.
 - Q. Did you continue to pay Evans during this time period?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.

5

8

- 7 | Q. Were you paying any other coaches during this time period?
 - A. I believe one other coach.
- 9 0. Who was that?
- A. Chuck Person. I believe it was around that time. I'm not a hundred percent sure, but I believe it was somewhere in the fall of 2016, maybe.
- 13 | O. And that was at the direction of law enforcement?
- 14 | A. It was, yes.
- 15 | Q. Where did Chuck Person work?
- MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I'd object. This is entirely irrelevant to this case.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 A. He was at the University of Auburn.
- Q. Now, during this time period in which you and Dawkins
 aren't speaking, which you testified was around May -- correct
 me if I'm wrong, but around May 2016 to May -- why don't you
- 23 | tell me.
- A. May 2016 was when the charges were -- when the settlement with the SEC came out, and we really didn't talk -- any of our

6

8

9

10

16

17

18

- conversation was kind of through Munish Sood, but we really did
 not have any meaningful conversations until around early May of
 2017.
- 4 | Q. What was your relationship like with Sood around this time?
 - A. Well, Munish knew that the charges were coming, so it was not a big surprise to Munish. We maintained a good
- 7 | relationship. We, Munish and I, talked quite a bit still.
 - Q. Do you know what the status was of Sood and Dawkins' relationship during this time period in which you and Dawkins aren't talking?
- MR. HANEY: Objection. Foundation, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled.
- A. From Munish I was they were communicating and actually working together on one, at least one, recruit, one player that I knew of.
 - Q. How do you know they were working together and their relationship was good?
 - A. Because Munish, Munish had told me.
- MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I'd object to the hearsay that he's getting into.
- 21 MR. BOONE: Coconspirator.
- 22 THE COURT: Overruled.
- MR. HANEY: Thank you.
- Q. Now, you mentioned that you ultimately did start talking to
 Dawkins again. What led to you and Dawkins speaking again?

- A. Well, in approximately October/November of 2016, I started working with -- the FBI got involved in what we were doing, and I -- they had a partner investor that was working with me. And Munish was aware, Munish Sood was aware of this investor who was willing to work in the direction of the basketball stuff.

 And in addition to that, around early May of 2017, Christian was fired from his job at Andy Miller Sports.

 Q. Now, just to sort of be more clear, you said the FBI had a partner investor working for you. Would you explain that a little bit more.

 A. The FBI was starting to get involved in this investigation,
 - and they brought an undercover agent along to work with me.

 And he was posing as an investor who was interested in

 committing moneys to paying the basketball coaches, paying the

 basketball players, and just the overall program that we had

 initiated back when we started with Lamont. And he was -- and

 it was known by Munish that I had this partner investor.
 - Q. So after you and Dawkins resumed speaking again, did there come a time when you, Dawkins, Sood, and this undercover FBI agent posing as an investor decided to meet?
 - A. Yes. We met in early June 2017 on a yacht in Manhattan.
 - Q. What was the purpose of the meeting?
 - A. The purpose of the meeting was a couple-fold. One was that Munish, the undercover agent, and Christian were -- had set up this business management company, and at this meeting they were

Blazer - Direct

going to sign the paperwork, the documentation, to memorialize 1 2 and finalize the details of the company. The undercover agent 3 was going to fund the account with \$25,000 in cash, and 4 Christian was going to -- Christian was talking about the 5 potential clients and coaches. He had sent a list of different assistant coaches that would be willing to work with us the 6 7 same way as Lamont was, to be paid to refer us players, and we went over that list as well and where and when we would meet 8 9 them. 10 THE COURT: Before you ask your next question, it's 11 12:45, so we're going to take our second break. Please be 12 prepared to come back at 1 o'clock. Please do not discuss the 13 case. 14

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

law.

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Blazer, you may step down. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: You can be seated. 5 Anything for me? 6 MR. MOORE: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 8 MR. MOORE: At this point, given the prejudicial 9 spillover issue, I'm constrained to move for a severance. 10 your Honor chooses to deny that, we have prepared a proposed 11 instruction which we would ask your Honor to give at this 12 point. 13 MR. MARK: Your Honor, it's well settled, and I'm 14 quoting here, that a coconspirator's hearsay statements made 15 before a person joins the conspiracy are admissible against that person. There's no basis for this. This is not what 803 16 17 says, and we would object to any such request for any instruction. Obviously, since it's admissible, there's no 18 basis for a severance motion. 19 20 THE COURT: Mr. Moore. 21 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I don't agree that it's well 22 settled that coconspirator statements made two years before 23 someone allegedly joins a conspiracy can be admissible against 24 the person. So I disagree with Mr. Mark's statement of the

THE COURT: Well, I don't think Mr. Mark was arguing that it's admissible and it could be held — that Mr. Code can be held responsible for. I think the argument is that it's admissible at this trial, and I believe what your argument is, really, is a prejudicial spillover argument — MR. MOORE: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: — and not a relevance argument or

admissibility argument.

MR. MOORE: It's certainly admissible against

Mr. Dawkins, I concede that. And we're joined together, so that's the point of my severance motion, which is because of the prejudicial spillover, because I don't believe that that evidence is properly admissible against Mr. Code or that the jury can consider it against Mr. Code.

THE COURT: I think that you're probably right on both grounds, but it's not a basis to sever this case. And I think it's something that can be addressed by an instruction to the jury, so I'm happy to consider whatever language you have.

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir. How would you like us to submit that? Would you just like us to email it to chambers with copies to the government? How would you propose we do that?

THE COURT: As soon as you can in writing, absolutely.

MR. MOORE: We can do it right now.

THE COURT: Great.

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Judge.

```
THE COURT: Yes. 1 o'clock, don't be late.
1
2
                MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.
3
                (Recess)
 4
                (Continued on next page)
 5
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

J409DAW4

Blazer - Direct

THE COURT: Has the government received the proposed language?

MR. MARK: We got it just a minute ago. We would — noticeably, there is no case law in support of this proposed limiting instruction. We think this misstates the law. We think this is inappropriate at this time.

To the extent the Court wants to consider such an instruction, we think that this is: A, not the time for it; and B, we would respectfully request the time to actually fully respond to this request.

THE COURT: I will give you that opportunity. So we won't give them the instruction now.

I think it is the case that -- and I don't know that you'll want to disagree -- that the evidence that has been provided thus far at least with respect to Mr. Blazer does not implicate Mr. Code and nothing that he says -- that he has said can be held against Mr. Code.

MR. MARK: Well I mean I think Mr. Code joins the conspiracy as he finds it. There's going to be evidence that Mr. Code understood what was going on even though he wasn't personally involved with Mr. Blazer during this time but he understood the relationship and the bribes that were being paid to Lamont Evans including with respect to what we suggest is one of the particular players that's being discussed here, Jeffrey Carroll. So this is definitely relevant evidence and

we do think this evidence can be used against Mr. Code. 1 THE COURT: I don't know the evidence as well as you 2 3 guys so we'll wait to hear. 4 MR. MOORE: Yes, sir. It looks like we'll perhaps be 5 briefing that this evening. 6 THE COURT: OK. Always happy to get here early. 7 So we can bring out the jury. If we could have Mr. Blazer come back in. 8 9 LOUIS MARTIN BLAZER, resumed. 10 MR. MOORE: Based on your Honor's instruction or your 11 Honor is allowing us not to be present for the full time, I'm 12 going to depart at two. I'm going to do so in a very 13 inobtrusive fashion, in fact. 14 THE COURT: OK. 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

J409DAW4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Boone.

involved in this new company?

BY MR. BOONE:

- Q. Mr. Blazer, I believe when we took the break you had just finished explaining that Dawkins, Sood and an undercover agent from the FBI posing as an investor were forming a company together. Could you explain who did you understand to be
- A. It was just Munish Sood, Christian Dawkins, and the undercover FBI agent.
 - Q. And what -- for each person involved do you have an understanding of what their role was going to be in the company?
 - A. Jeff was the -- the undercover agent was going to be the investor. Munish was going to be the financial adviser, handle the financial end of it. And Christian was going to be the business manager. That's the way I understood it to be.
 - Were you going to have a role in the company? Ο.
- 19 I was not going to have a role in the company, no. Α.
- 20 Why not? Ο.
- 21 I was under the understanding that because of what had 22 happened with me with the SEC and everything we were -- I was 23 just going to stay on the outside and not be involved with the 24 company. I was going to pose as I'd get a piece of whatever 25 was going on with the business and we would figure that out

1 | later.

2

- Q. You're saying your name wouldn't be associated?
- 3 A. My name would not be associated with the company, no.
- 4 | Q. And you testified earlier that ultimately there was a
- 5 meeting that was had between, Dawkins, Sood, yourself and the
- 6 undercover. Where did that meeting occur?
- 7 A. That meeting occurred on a yacht, a boat in Manhattan that
- 8 the undercover agents had arranged.
- 9 Q. And do you remember approximately when this was?
- 10 | A. It was June of 2017.
- 11 | Q. And was that meeting recorded by law enforcement?
- 12 A. Yes, it was.
- 13 Q. What happened at the meeting?
- 14 A. Well, the -- everybody agreed that they were going to sign
- 15 | the paperwork -- Munish, Christian, and the undercover agent --
- 16 the paperwork to finalize the details of the business that they
- 17 | were forming. The undercover agent funded the account for the
- 18 | business by giving Munish \$25,000 in cash and we all went over
- 19 | a list of coaches that Christian had sent that for the purposes
- 20 of trying to arrange when, where, and if we would be meeting
- 21 | with them for the purposes of doing the same thing we were
- 22 doing with Lamont Evans, paying those coaches.
- 23 MR. BOONE: Your Honor at this time the government
- 24 offers a recording of that meeting and a related transcript.
- 25 | It's Government Exhibit 508A and 508AT.

J409DAW4 Blazer - Direct

1 THE COURT: Any objection? 2 No objection, your Honor. MR. HANEY: 508A and 508AT will be received. 3 THE COURT: (Government's Exhibits 508A and 508AT received in 4 5 evidence) 6 MR. BOONE: If we could play the recording when you're 7 ready, Ms. Bustillo. 8 (Video played) 9 Q. Where are you? 10 We are actually on the boat, on the yacht in Manhattan. Α. 11 Could you identify who we're seeing on the scene? 12 The person with his back to the screen is -- and --13 the back of his head is redacted is the undercover agent for 14 the FBI. I am sitting to his left. And to my left is Munish 15 Sood. To Munish's left is Munish's assistant, Alicia Carroll. And to her left is Christian Dawkins. 16 17 MR. BOONE: OK. We can continue. 18 (Video played) 19 Do you know what Dawkins is talking about in this segment? Q. 20 I believe he was talking about a college combine type camp 21 but I wasn't sure exactly which one but it was in Vegas, it was 22 in Las Vegas. 23 MR. BOONE: We can continue. 24 (Video played)

Who is talking -- who just spoke?

25

J409DAW4

Blazer - Direct

- 1 A. That was the undercover FBI agent.
- 2 | Q. Do you know what name the undercover went by?
- 3 A. Yes. Jeff D'Angelo.
 - Q. That was --

4

14

- 5 A. That was the undercover's name.
- Q. And the undercover, Jeff D'Angelo, referenced a coaching
- 7 list. Did you understand what he was referring to?
- A. Yes. It was a list of coaches that Christian sent and on that list was a number of different schools and coaches and in
- 10 parentheses he had certain words to describe the coaches.
- Q. And the undercover, Jeff D'Angelo, also references a business model. Do you know what he was referring to?
- 13 A. The business model was the business model that we had

established with Lamont Evans; basically paying the coach for

- 15 the coach's relationship with their players.
- MR. BOONE: Continue, please.
- 17 (Video played)
- Q. What did you understand the undercover to be explaining in
- 19 | that segment?
- 20 A. The undercover was explaining the -- basically just
- 21 reiterating the model that we had established and discussed
- 22 | with Lamont Evans in that meeting in Columbia, South Carolina,
- 23 and then on the way back where Christian was discussing the
- 24 | very meaningful nature of paying the college coaches and
- 25 helping them to recruit even on the grassroots level because

1	getting those players at that level was the most important
2	thing because they were basically one and done.
3	So Jeff is just reiterating that model that had been
4	established back then and saying that we were interested in
5	continuing with that model.
6	Q. Now, you testified earlier that when the undercover
7	referenced coaching list, your understanding was he was
8	referencing a list of coaches Dawkins had sent around earlier;
9	is that right?
10	A. That's correct.
11	MR. HANEY: Your Honor, I object to what the reference
12	is to the undercover. The undercover is not a conspirator in
13	this case.
14	THE COURT: Overruled.
15	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, can we approach for a moment
16	on another issue. I hate to do this again but I just need to
17	make one more issue.
18	THE COURT: Come on up.
19	(Continued on next page)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(At sidebar)

MR. MOORE: I apologize for not bringing it up before this exhibit. But in the Gatto case the government specifically requested that Judge Kaplan allow pixillation and hiding the faces of these undercovers. We agreed to it.

Blazer - Direct

I don't recall any such request made to this Court. We do not recall agreeing to it, and we don't agree to it.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, we discussed this with defense counsel. Our understanding was they explicitly agreed to it. There were multiple conversations. We produced this all to them as exhibits with the pixillation.

We have no objection if your Honor wants to give an instruction as to -- so that it doesn't in any way prejudice them thinking -- so the jury shouldn't infer anything negative about their clients. But at this moment for them to be raising this we find it, I've got to say, a little bit ridiculous here, your Honor.

THE COURT: This is the first time this issue has ever been brought before me. I was communicating with my clerk. I have never seen it. I don't know what the law is with respect to it. So I'm happy to consider whatever language you propose.

MR. MOORE: I frankly don't recall -- if there was such a discussion, I was not a party to it. That's why I asked Mr. Mathias and Mr. Haney if they recalled such a discussion.

I know that this is how the government produced this

J409DAW4 Blazer - Direct

to us, but we did have a lot of discussions, just for the record, about agreeing to all exhibits, both government and defense exhibits upfront, and we never got anywhere in that regard because the government continued to tell us that they couldn't give us answers with respect to all of the calls that we wanted to play.

THE COURT: This is a fight that we don't need to have.

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.

MR. MARK: Is there anything you want to say?

MR. HANEY: I will say that we don't have a stipulation on this. I know we've had conversation, we've had a lot of conversation about trying to arrive at some understanding on evidence over the last several months.

MR. MARK: You specifically agreed on the --

MR. HANEY: No, we won't --

MR. MARK: On the redactions?

THE COURT: This is not a fight that we're going to have during the jury's time.

(Continued on next page)

1 (In open court)

- Q. I think where we left off was I think I had asked a question regarding the list that the undercover referred to.
 - A. Correct.

4

9

- Q. My question is: Had you seen that list; and if so, in what form did you see it?
- A. I saw the list. It was sent to me -- it wasn't sent to me

 by Christian. It was sent by either the undercover, Jeff, or

Munish, I believe. But I had it in a text message form.

- 10 MR. BOONE: I'd like to show the witness only for
- 11 | identification purposes Government Exhibit 1637.
- 12 Q. Take a look and if you could scroll to show the whole thing.
- 14 A. Yes. That is the coach list. That's the list.
- 15 | Q. And is this a picture, screen shot of that list?
- 16 A. Yes. That's a screen shot of the text message.
- Q. Does it accurately reflect the text message you remembered receiving?
- 19 A. It does, yes.
- MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers
- 21 Government Exhibit 1637.
- 22 THE COURT: Any objection.
- MR. HANEY: No objection, your Honor.
- MR. CHANEY: No.
- 25 THE COURT: 1637 will be received.

J409DAW4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

Blazer - Direct

- 1 MR. BOONE: If we could now publish that for the jury.
- 2 THE COURT: You may.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 1637 received in evidence)
- Q. Now if you could, Mr. Blazer, if you could walk us through what this list shows.
 - A. This list is -- it says right in the top of the list "These are my main guys."

These are Christian's coaching contacts. And what it shows is it breaks down by school. And then coaches at the school. And then in parentheses he puts notes on each of the coaches.

- Q. And it looks like there's a designation as superstar. Do you have an understanding of what was meant by that?
- A. Superstar means a very good recruiter, a very good contact

 for us as well, somebody that we wanted to be working -
 somebody that -- like Lamont that we wanted to be paying, in my

 opinion, somebody that was moving in the right direction as a
 - Q. And did you ever meet any of the coaches on this list?
- 20 | A. Yes, I did.

coach.

- 21 | Q. How did you meet them?
- 22 A. At a meeting that we would have later on in June in Vegas,
- 23 | in Las Vegas.
- $24 \parallel 0$. Who is we?
- 25 A. At the meeting was Christian Dawkins, Jeff D'Angelo, the

7

8

9

DAW4 Blazer - Direct

- 1 undercover agent, and me.
- Q. And we'll talk about that meeting in more detail later but
 could you identify from this list which of those coaches you
- 4 met through Dawkins at that meeting?
- 5 A. Yes. At that meeting I met Jordan Fair from Louisville.
 - I met Preston Murphy from Creighton.
 - I met Tony Bland from USC.
 - I met Yasir Rosemond from Alabama.
 - I meant Anthony Coleman from Arizona State
- 10 | I met Amir Abdur-Rahim from Texas A&M. And that's it
- 11 MR. BOONE: If we could go back to the video,
- 12 | Government Exhibit 508A, and if we could continue playing.
- 13 (Video played)
- 14 | Q. You mentioned Lamont. Who are you referring to?
- 15 A. Lamont Evans.
- 16 | Q. And why are you mentioning Lamont Evans?
- 17 A. Well, because to reference with Christian and, again, it
- 18 | had been quite some time since we had really had a meaningful
- 19 discussion. I was speaking and for what the undercover, Jeff
- 20 D'Angelo, was saying, is talking to Christian about that model
- 21 | that he had initially introduced us to in Columbia, South
- 22 Carolina with Lamont Evans and saying to him that it was a --
- 23 | it was a model that worked. It was a model that if I paid
- 24 | Lamont Evans and helped him out with what he needed with
- 25 recruits or whatever, Lamont has stuck by me even in the time

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

that Christian and I were kind of distanced from one another, Lamont was still in the fold because I was paying him, Lamont was still willing to work with me and refer players to me, whatever the structure of my business would have been, even after the SEC settlement. MR. BOONE: We can continue, please. (Video played) You mentioned Creighton. What are you referring to? University of Creighton basketball. Α. And you mentioned sort of a situation involving Creighton. Ο. Can you explain what you're referring to? Well, Lamont had talked to me about the fact that he and Christian were kind of on the outs and weren't really -weren't really talking very much and he was upset about that and he told me it was because Christian had helped to send a recruit, instead of sending a recruit to Oklahoma State and help Lamont out, Lamont Evans, he decided to sent the recruit to Creighton and to help the coach out at Creighton. MR. BOONE: If we could continue playing. (Video played) So, Dawkins says, "Brian Bowen ain't going to Stillwater, Oklahoma." What did you understand him to be referring to? A player, a recruit, a highly sought-after grassroots basketball recruit. And Christian references the fact that he

went to Louisville. He says that Lamont Evans wanted to

Blazer - Direct

- recruit him to go to Oklahoma State and Christian says Brian

 Bowen was just not going to go to Stillwater, Oklahoma. He

 just wasn't going to live and play in Stillwater, Oklahoma and

 go and play for Lamont.
 - Q. And relationship, if any, did this have to do with Creighton?
 - A. Well, Christian also says that don't be —— he shouldn't be upset, Lamont shouldn't be upset because Brian Bowen ended up not going to Creighton anyway. He ended up going to Louisville. Creighton didn't get him either.
 - Q. There's mention of a Preston Murphy. Who is that?
 - A. Christian -- Preston Murphy was an assistant coach at Creighton. He was one of the individuals on the list that Christian provided.

MR. BOONE: If we could continue, please.

(Video played)

- Q. So in that segment what are you explaining to Dawkins and the group?
- A. I'm just kind of, number one, I was speaking to the nature of the business that they were setting up and I said it's -- to me it was much easier, it was much better to be a business manager than it was to try to handle the representation of everything. Because that gives you flexibility to make -- maneuver to make payments or do whatever you needed to do on the grassroots end. And then I reiterate to everybody in the

Blazer - Direct

- room about the idea of what we did with Lamont Evans and if you've got a handful of coaches that you are paying like we were Lamont for the relationships that Lamont is going to be able to send us, then if you've got a situation like Brian Bowen or Collin Sexton where you've got a recruit that chooses to go to Louisville under whatever circumstances instead of Stillwater, Oklahoma you've got a Collin Sexton behind him that you've got so many relationships with those coaches that somebody is going to be happy. The more that he had, the more flexibility he had, the less you're really burning any bridges.
- Q. What did you understand Dawkins's response to be?
- A. He said that -- and definitely in agreement that if you support the college coaches that's the direction to go with it and basically said that there is not many college coaches, even head coaches out there that he didn't have access to.
- Q. Access meaning what?
 - A. The ability to communicate and discuss this plan that we were -- this business plan that was formed.
 - MR. BOONE: And we're around line 22, page 10, if we can continue, please.

(Video played)

- Q. There is mention or you mentioned someone named Book -- sorry. Dawkins mentions someone named Book. Do you know who that is?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Who is that?
- 2 A. That's Emanuel Richardson. He was assistant coach at
- 3 Arizona University.
- 4 | Q. Is Book a nickname?
- 5 A. Yes. Book is his nickname.
- 6 Q. Had you heard of him before this conversation?
- 7 A. No. I don't -- yes, I have. I heard -- he actually met
- 8 | with Munish Sood in Vegas maybe a couple of weeks prior to
- 9 | that -- Christian set up a meeting when Arizona was at their
- 10 conference championships in Las Vegas and he set up a meeting
- 11 | between Munish and Book Richardson and another of Arizona's
- 12 assistant coaches.
- 13 | Q. How do you know this?
- 14 A. Well, I talked to Munish about the meeting, when the
- 15 | meeting was going on, I was speaking with Munish about him
- 16 | meeting with Book Richardson.
- 17 Q. And you said he met with Richardson and someone else. Do
- 18 you know who that other person was?
- 19 A. No, I don't. That assistant coach, I forget what his name,
- 20 | but he ended up taking a head coaching job shortly after
- 21 | meeting with Munish he ended up taking a coaching job in
- 22 | California.
- 23 | Q. And based on your conversations with Sood did you have an
- 24 understanding of what the purpose of that meeting was?
- 25 A. The purpose of the meeting in Vegas?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. Well the purpose was for them to -- for them to have an
- 3 | initial introduction and then for Munish to try to find out
- 4 | along the lines of Lamont Evans what Book wanted, what he was
- 5 going -- what amount of money he was going to need and how he
- 6 | would need it for us to initiate that kind of relationship with
- 7 him.
- 8 Q. And there is also a mention by Dawkins of someone named
- 9 Sean Miller. Do you know who that is?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Who is that?
- 12 A. Sean Miller is the head coach at Arizona of basketball.
- 13 Q. And Dawkins says, "Miller will talk on the phone about
- 14 stuff he shouldn't talk on the phone about."
- 15 || What was your understanding of what he meant by that?
- 16 A. I understood him to mean by that that Sean Miller would
- 17 | talk about inappropriate things regarding recruiting and
- 18 | players like paying the money and taking payments and that sort
- 19 of thing.
- 20 MR. BOONE: Continue, please.
- 21 (Video played)
- 22 | Q. You referred to buckets. What are you trying to say there?
- 23 A. There I'm saying that they -- the establishment of their
- 24 | business entity for business management, there would be a
- 25 | bucket of money to be deposited in that entity for the purpose

the table.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

- of running that business. And then there was -- I was thinking
 a separate bucket that would be for the purposes of the
 Lamont-type deals; in other words, paying coaches like Lamont
 Evans to do further relationships that Lamont was to bring to
 - Q. What relationships were those?
 - A. Player relationships, relationships with basketball players that he was either recruiting or had on his team.

MR. BOONE: Continue, please.

(Video played)

- Q. So what is Dawkins -- what did you understand Dawkins to be explaining in this segment?
- 13 A. Well, it started, if I recall, about Christian was 14 asking -- because he had been -- he had not been privy for a 15 little while to the dealings that I was doing with Lamont Evans, how much we were paying Lamont. So he asked, you know, 16 17 if you're going to work with these coaches, how much are you -what's the budget for that, just to get an idea, what are we 18 19 talking about? And I said sort of Lamont-esque. And he asked 20 how much that was. And I told him what we were paying Lamont 21 at that time. And then he starts to talk about, well if you're 22 doing that for Lamont --
 - Q. What did you say? How much were you paying?
 - A. I was paying him -- what we worked out with Lamont was three thousand dollars from me, from the undercover from the

- FBI, and then one thousand dollars a month was coming from Munish Sood.
 - Q. Continue.

3

- 4 A. So Christian said Lamont is good but Lamont's not an elite
- 5 guy. But if you're going to be paying a coach like Book
- 6 Richardson or Kenny Johnson who was assistant coach at
- 7 | Louisville, then you need to be prepared to pay a lot more. We
- 8 | need to figure that we're going to have to pay a lot more.
- 9 And then he gets into a certain dynamic at Arizona --
- 10 | I don't know if you want me to elaborate or explain what I
- 11 understood that to be.
- 12 | Q. Well, first, what did you understand about him to mean when
- 13 you just said an elite guy?
- 14 A. That was a coach that was either a better recruiter or a
- 15 coach that was in a position at one of the elite, kind of blue
- 16 | bloodish schools.
- 17 | Q. What is a blue blood school to you?
- 18 A. A blue blood school to me is -- was a college basketball
- 19 program that was kind of really steeped in tradition, that
- 20 | year-in and year-out they had a lot of success and they would
- 21 | bring through their program elite players that went into the
- 22 | NBA at very high draft picks.
- 23 | Q. Is it your understanding that some college basketball
- 24 programs are better than others?
- 25 A. Yes.

409DAW4	Brazer	_	DILEC

- Q. Towards the very end of that segment there's mention of Sean Miller. What did you understand Dawkins to be saying about Sean Miller?
- A. He tells a story about the relationship between Book
 Richardson, Sean Miller's assistant, and Sean Miller. And he
 mentions a player that -- and a relationship that Sean Miller
 had discussed with Christian. I think this meeting was like
 June 6th. And Christian said that he received a phonecall
 from Sean Miller and Sean Miller discussed the fact that he had
 been taking care of payments for DeAndre Ayton who was a
 grassroots basketball player at the time and Sean Miller was
 taking care of everything for DeAndre Ayton and his family.
 And he had spoken with Christian about the fact that DeAndre
 Ayton had committed to play at Arizona and that DeAndre Ayton
 would be on campus June 10. And Sean Miller told Christian if
 you're here on June 10, I'll turn over everything that I've
 been paying to DeAndre Ayton over to you and you can takeover
 and build a relationship and start paying DeAndre Ayton.
- MR. BOONE: I believe we're around line 12 of page 14 of the transcript, if we could continue.

(Video played)

- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he says if we could fund those types of guys we'd be running college basketball?
- A. Speaking to -- speaking about funding Book Richardson or

J409DAW4

Blazer - Direct

Sean Miller but I was thinking more along the lines of Book
Richardson or Kenny Johnson from Louisville that he had
mentioned before. And if we would fund -- if we would pay
those coaches and had a number of different coaches that we
would pay, the same way we were doing with Lamont Evans, that
they would be sending us so many of their players of DeAndre
Ayton, Collin Sexton caliber that we would -- we would takeover
college basketball. We would have -- we would basically have
every significant elite player that came through major college
basketball.

MR. BOONE: Continue.

(Video played)

- MR. BOONE: If we could go to transcript page 16.
- Q. What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he says, "Let me speak to them when they need it"?
 - A. By that I meant him to mean let Christian speak to the college coaches when they needed money.
 - Q. Money for what?
 - A. Money for I understood it to be money for a certain player that they were recruiting or whatever they would need to recruit a player to their school or it could be for a player that they had at the school that had certain needs that they were going to refer back to us.
 - Q. And so what point, if any, did you understand Dawkins trying to make here?

Blazer - Direct

1 I think that he was saying that --2 MR. HANEY: Objection to what he thinks he's saying. He's pausing. Clearly he's making things up right now. 3 I'll strike that last comment. 4 THE COURT: 5 Thank you, Judge. MR. HANEY: 6 THE COURT: Your objection is sustained. 7 Where Dawkins says, "I say to do this to make it smarter and get the most bang so everybody can make money. If you're 8 going to just give the guy four grand a month, I just don't 9 10 know what you're giving him four grand a month for." 11 What did you understand him to mean by that statement? 12 I took that in reference to Lamont Evans, that Lamont was 13 not of the level that he felt deserved four thousand dollars a 14 month and he would rather see it directed to something and 15 somebody that was going to be able to produce higher level elite players. 16 17 Q. So what did you understand was the reason Lamont was not viewed on that level? 18 A. Because Lamont was at Oklahoma State at the time and 19 20 Oklahoma State was not a basketball school like Louisville or 21 Arizona was. 22 MR. BOONE: We can continue. 23 (Video played) 24 What did you understand Dawkins to mean when he says, "Like

a Book, OK, that makes sense to give him four grand a month"?

J409DAW4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

Blazer - Direct

A. Well, to the previous point in reference to Lamont Evans at Oklahoma State, Christian was saying that a coach like Book Richardson was worthy of paying four thousand dollars a month because he was an assistant coach at Arizona and they had basically top-ten picks coming every year out of Arizona. He was an assistant coach at an elite basketball program so he was

MR. BOONE: Continue.

worthy of four thousand dollars a month.

(Video played)

- Q. There is discussion regarding Las Vegas. What did you understand Dawkins and others to be talking about in regards to Las Vegas?
- A. It would make a good -- it would be a good idea for us to meet these coaches and do this with them in Las Vegas.
- Q. Was a plan set to meet in Las Vegas?
- A. The plan was -- at the time, no, the plan was not set to meet in Las Vegas but we established that now.
- Q. Ultimately the plan was?
- 19 | A. Ultimately, yes, the plan was to meet in Las Vegas.
- Q. And what was the purpose of -- what was going to be the purpose of meeting in Las Vegas?
 - A. The purpose of the meeting in Las Vegas was to be introduced to these coaches and to initiate or find out what they -- what money they wanted or needed and then start to establish the process of paying them for -- in return for the

Blazer - Direct

players they were recruiting or had at their schools. 1 2 MR. BOONE: Continue. 3 (Video played) 4 The recording we just went over, did that capture the 5 entire discussion you had on the boat that day? A. No. 6 7 MR. BOONE: Your Honor, the government offers another portion of another recording of another portion of that 8 9 conversation. It's Government Exhibit 508D and the transcript 10 we'd also like to offer is Government Exhibit 508DT. Any objection? 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. HANEY: No objection, your Honor. 508D and 508DT will be received. 13 THE COURT: 14 (Government's Exhibits 508D and 508DT received in 15 evidence) 16 (Video played) 17 The undercover mentions signing a contract. What did you understand him to be referring to? 18 19 That was the paperwork for the business entity that 20 Christian, Munish, and the undercover Jeff were establishing. 21 MR. BOONE: Continue, please. 22 (Video played) 23 What is Dawkins holding in his hand? 24 That is an expensive bottle of Scotch. Α.

And do you know where that bottle came from?

J409DAW4 Blazer - Direct

A. The undercover agent, the FBI agents got a bottle for Christian and a bottle for Munish to celebrate or to memorialize the signing of the business entity.

MR. BOONE: Continue.

(Video played)

(Continued on next page)

- 1 MR. BOONE: If we could pause here for a moment.
- 2 This kid Dawkins is referring to, do you know who he's 3 referring to?
- A. No, no, it was just a -- somebody that he was going to 4
- 5 have -- have an assistant work with him who was the brother of
- a -- of a high, projected high college basketball player. 6
 - MR. BOONE: OK. Continue.
- 8 (Video played)
- MR. BOONE: You could pause right there. 9
- 10 Ο. What did the undercover just hand Munish Sood?
- He handed him an envelope with \$25,000 cash in it. 11
- 12 MR. BOONE: If we could continue playing.
- 13 (Video played)
- 14 BY MR. BOONE:

7

- 15 Q. Mr. Blazer, who was just speaking there?
- That was Munish Sood. 16 Α.
- In addition to Jeff D'Angelo, was there any other 17 Q.
- 18 undercover agents at this meeting?
- 19 Α. There was. To Jeff D'Angelo's right, there was another
- 20 undercover agent. Jill Bailey was her name.
- 21 Q. What was your understanding as to what role she was
- 22 playing?
- A. She was just there to -- I mean, I didn't really know what 23
- 24 her role. She was just involved as a business partner of
- 25 Jeff's just to kind of make the whole situation more

J4OHDaw5 Blazer - Direct

1 | comfortable.

2

3

9

MR. BOONE: OK. If you'd continue.

(Video played)

- 4 BY MR. BOONE:
- 5 Q. Now I want to switch gears a little bit. At the beginning
- 6 of your testimony, you testified that you had met the defendant
- 7 | Merl Code through Christian Dawkins. You recall that?
- 8 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Before meeting Merl Code, had you heard of him before?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Approximately when did you meet him?
- 12 A. I met Merl in June of 2017, probably about two weeks after
- 13 the meeting on the yacht. It was around NBA draft.
- 14 | Q. And prior to meeting the defendant Merl Code, what
- 15 | discussions, if any, did you have with Dawkins about Code?
- 16 A. Prior to meeting Merl, there were -- I don't believe I had
- 17 many, if any, conversations with Christian about Merl.
- 18 | Q. Did you have an understanding as to why you were meeting
- 19 | Merl Code?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What was your understanding?
- 22 | A. My understanding was that Merl was an employee at Adidas,
- 23 | and he had connections on all three levels of basketball, but
- 24 | that he had tremendous connections with a lot of the college
- 25 coaches and grass roots coaches.

- 1 | Q. Where did you meet him?
- 2 A. We met -- I met him in a hotel suite at the, I believe,
- 3 | Conrad Hotel in New York.
- 4 | Q. Who attended that meeting?
- 5 A. At that meeting it was me; Merl Code; Christian Dawkins;
- 6 | the two undercover FBI agents, Jeff and Jill; and Munish Sood
- 7 and his assistant Alicia Carroll.
- 8 | Q. Who set up that meeting?
- 9 A. Christian Dawkins set up that meeting.
- 10 | Q. Why was the meeting in New York?
- 11 | A. The meeting was in New York because it was -- we had
- 12 | discussed it on the yacht, but it was around the NBA draft, and
- 13 | there would be a lot of people around. That's what I
- 14 understood it to be.
- 15 | O. Where was the NBA draft?
- 16 A. The NBA draft was in New York, New York City.
- 17 | Q. Were there other meetings scheduled in New York around that
- 18 | time?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. What other meetings?
- 21 A. There was a meeting set up with Book Richardson from -- the
- 22 | assistant coach at Arizona. There was a meeting set up with
- 23 Preston Murphy, the assistant coach at Creighton, and I believe
- 24 | there was a meeting set up with Shane Heirman from DePaul. I'm
- 25 | not a hundred percent sure, but I think there was a meeting set

- 1 | with him or discussed with him as well.
- 2 Q. So let's go through those one by one.
- 3 | A. OK.
- 4 | Q. Starting with the meeting with Emanuel "Book" Richardson,
- 5 | who set up that meeting?
- 6 A. Christian. My understanding, Christian Dawkins set that
- 7 | meeting up.
- 8 | Q. Did you have an understanding as to who Dawkins wanted to
- 9 | meet Book Richardson?
- 10 A. Who he wanted to meet Book Richardson?
- 11 | Q. Right, who was going to be involved in that meeting.
- 12 A. Well, it was going to be Jeff D'Angelo, the undercover
- 13 agent, and Munish Sood.
- 14 | Q. What was your understanding as to the purpose of that
- 15 | meeting?
- 16 A. The purpose of that meeting was, in conjunction with what
- 17 | we had discussed on the yacht, was to make a payment or start
- 18 | the process of making payments to Book Richardson, and in
- 19 return, Book Richardson would start to steer his clients or his
- 20 players, recruits our way for the business management.
- 21 | Q. Did that meeting take place?
- 22 A. Yes, that meeting took place.
- 23 Q. Do you know when?
- 24 A. It was in June of 2017, sort of late morning.
- 25 | Q. Let me ask you differently. Do you know when that meeting

- 1 | occurred in relation to the meeting with Code you attended?
- 2 A. Oh, yes, it was right before the meeting with Merl Code.
 - Q. Where was it?
- 4 A. The meeting in New York, it was in -- it was in the hotel
- 5 room, the hotel suite in New York.
- 6 Q. Why didn't you attend the meeting with Book Richardson?
- 7 A. I mean, my understanding was that the meeting got moved up
- 8 | because Book Richardson had to do -- Book had to leave or he
- 9 was doing something else, and my flight came in a little later.
- 10 | I also think that it was probably Christian might not have
- 11 | wanted me at that meeting because of if my name came out, but I
- 12 | wasn't a hundred percent sure of that.
- 13 | Q. Do you know what happened at that meeting?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. How do you know what happened?
- 16 A. Well, both from the undercover agent and from Munish Sood
- 17 | and Christian, we all kind of talked about it after Book had
- 18 | left and before the meeting with Merl.
- 19 Q. So are you saying the group discussed it in the meeting
- 20 | with Merl?
- 21 A. Group discussed it, yes, in the meeting.
- 22 | Q. What was your understanding as to what happened in the
- 23 | meeting with Book Richardson?
- 24 A. Book was paid by Jeff, the undercover agent, was given, I
- 25 | believe, \$5,000. And he was -- he had agreed to start to take

3

4

7

10

11

12

13

- money in exchange for referring his players and relationships to them, us, on the business management side.
 - Q. Have you ever met Book Richardson?
 - A. No, I never met Book Richardson.
- Q. I want to now focus on the meeting you said was scheduled with Preston Murphy. Who is Preston Murphy again?
 - A. Preston Murphy was assistant coach at Creighton basketball.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose was of setting up the meeting with Preston Murphy?
 - A. The purpose of setting up the meeting with Preston Murphy was the same as the meeting with Book Richardson. We were going to start to make payments to Preston Murphy in exchange for relationships that he could send our way for business.
- 14 | Q. Do you know if that meeting occurred?
- 15 A. That meeting did not occur.
- 16 | Q. Do you know why?
- A. Well, Christian had told everybody that Preston couldn't

 make it because at the time, I think the night before he was

 supposed to be in New York. His son was in the emergency room,

 and he just -- something happened, and he stayed and did not

 make it to New York that time.
- 22 | Q. Did there come a point when you later met Preston Murphy?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 25 A. That was late June in Las Vegas.

- 1 | Q. And who set up that meeting?
- 2 A. Christian Dawkins.
- 3 | Q. What happened at that meeting?
- 4 A. We met with Preston Murphy, and Preston was paid \$6,000.
 - \mathbb{Q} . Why was he paid \$6,000?
- 6 A. He was paid \$6,000. He was going to be one of the -- there
- 7 was no real person, to my knowledge, that he was recruiting at
- 8 | the time. Preston Murphy was going to be a monthly retainer.
- 9 In other words, he was like Book Richardson. He was going to
- 10 get paid on a monthly basis, and then he would be paid as
- 11 needed. So he was paid that money on a monthly basis, and in
- 12 | exchange for that, he was going to refer his relationships, his
- 13 | players and recruited players, our way for business.
- 14 | Q. Do you know someone by the name of Raymond Brothers?
- 15 A. Yes, I know that name, yes.
- 16 | 0. Who is that?
- 17 A. Raymond Brothers is an NBA agent. And, right, there was a
- 18 meeting set up with Raymond Brothers as well in New York at
- 19 | that time.
- 20 | Q. Do you know why there was a meeting set up with Raymond
- 21 | Brothers in New York?
- 22 A. Raymond Brothers was agent for Markelle Fultz, who was a
- 23 | draft prospect. He ended up being the first pick overall in
- 24 | the -- I believe it was the 2017 NBA draft. And I was not in
- 25 | that meeting, but in conversations, just it was about perhaps

- helping Raymond Brothers with some money and, in exchange, he would direct some of Markelle Fultz's business for the business management side.
 - Q. Why were you not in that meeting?
- 5 A. Again, I think it was and I was kind of told by Munish that
- 6 Christian thought it would be a good idea if I wasn't in that
- 7 | meeting because, I think -- well, not I think, I had met
- 8 Raymond before, years and years ago, and he knew who I was.
- 9 And I believe if he had known my name and that I was in that
- 10 | meeting, probably wouldn't have been a good thing because he
- 11 | would have thought I was involved in it, and he knew my past.
- 12 | Q. Your past being your --
- 13 A. Right, the misappropriation and movies and music and the
- 14 | SEC settlement.
- 15 | Q. Now I want to focus back on your meeting with Code. Who
- 16 | was there for that meeting?
- 17 | A. In that meeting it was me, Merl Code, the two undercover
- 18 agents, Christian Dawkins, Munish Sood, and Munish's assistant,
- 19 Alicia Carroll.
- 20 \ Q. Was that meeting recorded by law enforcement?
- 21 | A. Yes, it was.
- 22 | Q. What happened at the meeting?
- 23 A. At the meeting, we were introduced to Merl, and Merl gave
- 24 us a background on his work experience at both Nike and Adidas
- 25 and a little bit about what he did at Adidas. And then we

23

24

25

- talked about his relationships with both grass roots and 1 2 college coaches that he had relationships with. 3 How did that meeting end? That meeting ended with the idea that Merl was -- Merl was 4 Α. 5 willing to work with us. Merl -- Jeff, the undercover agent 6 gave Merl, I think, \$2,000, and Merl agreed to set up some of 7 the meetings with the college coaches. MR. BOONE: At this time, your Honor, the government 8 wants to offer a recording of that meeting. It's Government 9 10 Exhibit 510A1, and the related transcript is Government 11 Exhibit 510A1T. 12 THE COURT: Any objection? 13 MR. CHANEY: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: 510A1 and 510A1T will be received. (Government's Exhibits 510A1 and 510A1T received in 15 evidence) 16 17 MR. BOONE: If we could begin, please. 18 (Video played) 19 MR. BOONE: Can we pause here. 20 What are we looking at on the screen? 21 We are looking at the meeting that was held in New York in
 - June of 2017. And the participants of the meeting, all the way to the right, redacted is his face, the undercover agent Jeff D'Angelo. Next to him is the undercover agent Jill Bailey. And then there were other people there. Merl Code is in the

- 1 chair sort of in the middle of the screen.
- 2 | Q. Where were you? Where are you sitting?
- 3 A. I was sitting directly to Merl's right. You can't really
- 4 see me at this time. I think I was sitting in a chair directly
- 5 | to Merl's right.
- 6 Q. There's a reference to meeting with Book. What did you
- 7 | understand that reference to be referring to?
- 8 A. That was the meeting that happened earlier with Book
- 9 Richardson from Arizona.
- 10 | Q. And the undercover, Jeff D'Angelo, references Book leaving
- 11 | happy. What did you understand that to mean?
- 12 A. I understood that to mean that Book left happy because Jeff
- 13 \parallel had given him the \$5,000.
- 14 | Q. Then Code says he did. If we could continue playing.
- 15 (Video played)
- MR. BOONE: We could pause here.
- 17 | Q. So Dawkins mentions that Code is involved in all three
- 18 | levels of basketball. What did you understand him to mean by
- 19 | that?
- 20 | A. The three levels of basketball Merl -- it really starts
- 21 | with the grass roots, the high school-age travel basketball
- 22 | players, so Merl had some influence in that area. And then the
- 23 second level was from grass roots to college, the college
- 24 | basketball players and the coaches and everybody involved in
- 25 the college level. And then he had some influence on the

J40HDaw5

(At sidebar)

MR. CHANEY: The issue, I think Mr. Moore actually raised this earlier, specifically with respect to Mr. Code, Mr. Blazer has no prior dealings with Mr. Code, does not have a relationship with Mr. Code, did not obtain a relationship with Mr. Code after this meeting. This is, in fact, the only time they ever spoke at all. And so the degree to which the government can lay a foundation for Mr. Blazer to opine as to what Mr. Code meant or did not mean in any of the statements in that meeting is improper.

THE COURT: I think that the question posed was why was Mr. Code telling you about his background, and to that extent, the objection will be sustained. To the extent that Mr. Blazer has an understanding of what Code meant by certain words or phrases, that will be allowed. But the question as to why he was saying what he was saying is sustained.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, to be clear, the question was what did you understand him to be saying about his background, not why was he explaining his background.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. BOONE: Is that permissible?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CHANEY: Your Honor, just to let the Court know, opposing counsel know, since I think we're pretty close to running out of time, I think our position would be we would try

```
J4OHDaw5
                                Blazer - Direct
      to put something in writing to the Court on this issue
1
2
      overnight so we can potentially address this.
3
               THE COURT: That's fine. I'm going to send the jury
 4
      home now.
5
               (Continued on next page)
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court; jury present)

THE COURT: Folks, it's almost 2:30, so we're stopping now. We'll pick up again tomorrow with Mr. Blazer.

Until then, have a safe trip home. Please do not read anything that you may see about this case. Please do not look at anything or listen to anything that you may see in the media about this case. Please do not discuss the case. And please do try to be prompt tomorrow morning so we can get you started at 9:30.

Have a very good evening.

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

25

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: You can be seated. 3 So, Mr. Chaney, if the --4 MR. CHANEY: May the witness be excused? 5 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Blazer, you can step out. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 (Witness excused) THE COURT: Mr. Chaney, do I understand the objection 8 9 to be that Mr. Blazer ought not be allowed to testify at all as 10 to what his understanding was about what was being discussed? 11 MR. CHANEY: To a certain degree, I think that's an 12 accurate statement of our objection. And to kind of supplement 13 what we were speaking about at the bench, Mr. Blazer's personal 14 opinions, his personal understanding of what Mr. Code meant by certain statements really isn't relevant to the material issues 15 16 in this case. It's not separately relevant as the effect on 17 him as a listener or anything sort of in that vein. The jury is specifically tasked with interpreting what Mr. Code meant or 18 did not mean by certain statements and whether or not those 19 20 statements reflected a quilty mind, the specific intent to 21 participate in a conspiracy to pay college coaches in exchange 22 for funneling players back to this Loyd Inc. 23 So to the degree that Mr. Blazer, a witness who has no 24

prior knowledge or dealing with Mr. Code, who would necessarily be speculating as to what Mr. Code meant or did not mean, can

get to supplant his opinion in the form of evidence for what is necessarily a jury determination, I think, would be inappropriate.

MR. BOONE: Your Honor, Mr. Code is obviously charged with being a member of the conspiracy. Mr. Blazer is going to be testifying about conversations made in furtherance of that conspiracy. He has context to provide that because he was involved in this from the beginning to the end. He mentioned already the fact that through Dawkins he had an understanding of why they were meeting with Code and sort of what Code was going to be providing. He'll later testify about how Code was involved in the meeting in Vegas, his understanding being gained from those also in the conspiracy.

So he certainly has the ability to talk about what Code meant in regards to conversations involving the conspiracy. In particular, in this meeting, it's not some sort of five-minute meeting. It's a very long meeting. Obviously, as a participant in a very detailed, very thorough meeting, he can explain what was discussed in the meeting. And they were having a conversation, so what he was saying to him and what he meant by that and what Merl Code's responses were to his questions, just like anyone sort of can when they're talking to someone.

But it's particularly irrelevant, as I said earlier, because he is a member of the conspiracy, and there are things

happening in the conspiracy, including Code, outside of Code, that inform his view as to statements that, we offer, are statements made in furtherance of the conspiracy.

Also, just to be clear, there's also a lot of sports lingo and lingo that's sort of common in their industry that will not be understandable to the jury, frankly, without him explaining what is meant by that. There's been a little of that already in terms of "one and done," things of that nature. At least the government doesn't feel everyone sort of automatically knows what they mean. He's testified about he spent majority of his life, professional life, involved in sports in the sense of being a financial representative of those in sports. He knows that lingo. He knows sort of what these terms mean, and so he's going to be asked to sort of explain that to the jury again, which he's clearly capable of doing. He testified he spent 20-some-odd years doing that.

THE COURT: I guess, to that point, I was going to mention it at an appropriate time, but the jury, as I mentioned earlier this morning, it's a smart jury. So at this point you don't have to ask Mr. Blazer, or anyone else, what do you mean by grass roots? The jury's gotten that at this point. The jury has gotten the three levels: the grass roots, college, and the NBA. So a lot of those things that once you go over it once or twice, I think this jury gets it.

The reason -- well, with respect to the particular

objection, the reason why I thought you asked why was because Mr. Code was being perfectly clear he was simply giving, essentially, a résumé. Therefore, what was your understanding of what he was doing, he was telling us his experience. What I thought you were getting at was why was he giving you that experience, and that, I think, would be an inappropriate road for Mr. Blazer to go down, period.

MR. CHANEY: Your Honor, could I follow up one thing
Mr. Boone mentioned because I don't know if I understood him
appropriately? He said -- Mr. Boone, I mean, said it was
relevant what Mr. Blazer -- what Mr. Blazer's understanding was
because he is a member of the conspiracy. If that's the
government's position, I don't think that that comports with
the law.

MR. BOONE: I said Code was a member of the conspiracy.

MR. CHANEY: OK. That's why I was asking to clarify.

THE COURT: But, again, particularly with that section of the transcript, I mean, I don't know that — at least to my eyes, and I was reading it with an eye as to what the jury would be reading, I mean, it seemed perfectly clear to me that Mr. Code was simply going over his experience. There may be a phrase in there or two, perhaps, that needs some further clarification, but I didn't see much, at least as far as I read.

But to the extent that the question is, what was your understanding about what Mr. Code meant by one phrase or another, I think that that's perfectly appropriate.

MR. CHANEY: And, your Honor, on the point that
Mr. Boone made that Mr. Blazer has all of this experience and
can decipher coded basketball language, that's, in fact, not
the testimony of Mr. Blazer. He had certainly a history
dealing with football players, but he expressed under oath
today that he had very limited knowledge of the basketball
world until getting involved here and was largely relying on
other people's knowledge. So he's not an appropriate vehicle
for the jury to get their understanding of the inner workings
of basketball.

THE COURT: He's an appropriate vehicle to tell the jury his understanding and to put in context, therefore, whatever statements he made in response.

MR. BOONE: Yes, and just not to belabor the point, but, obviously, at this point in time, Mr. Blazer had been cooperating for quite some time in the world of basketball.

And so to the extent he wasn't an expert before, he certainly was much more informed about the inner workings of basketball at this point.

THE COURT: I'm so much more informed about the inner workings of basketball at this point.

OK. Anything else?

```
J4OHDaw5
1
               MR. HANEY: No, your Honor.
2
               MR. CHANEY: Not at this time.
3
               THE COURT: Anything from the government?
 4
               MR. BOONE: No, your Honor.
5
               THE COURT: OK. Folks, be here no later than
6
      9 o'clock tomorrow morning. It sounds like we've got work to
 7
     do.
8
               (Adjourned to April 25, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	LOUIS MARTIN BLAZER
4	Direct By Mr. Boone 250
5	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
6	Exhibit No. Received
7	501C and 501CT 274
8	501D and 501DT 290
9	508A and 508AT
10	508D and 508DT
11	402 and 402T
12	413 and 413T
13	501A and 501AT
14	501E and 501ET 299
15	510A1 and 510A1T
16	1637
17	2105
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	